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PREFACE BY THE PRESIDING OFFICERS

The development of the Oversight and Accountability Model was informed by Parliament’s strategic vision of building an effective people’s Parliament that is responsive to the needs of the people and that is driven by a desire for a better quality of life for all the people of South Africa. The Model is one of the tools Parliament will use to strengthen its oversight over the executive and organs of state.

During the first Parliament (1994-1999) and the second Parliament (1999-2004), our focus was on repealing apartheid legislation and passing new laws in line with the imperatives of a democratic institution. Whilst undertaking this process, Parliament passed close to 1 000 pieces of legislation.

Now our focus has to change from passing legislation to oversight, including assessing the impact of the laws passed since 1994. The Oversight Model has been developed to equip us with an improved standard operating procedure to enhance the capacity of Parliament to discharge its duties.

This Model is a product of robust multi-party discussions, and consultations, as well as intensive research, both nationally and internationally. Our aim was to bring to the table best practice around issues of oversight and accountability from a variety of sources. Parliament’s critical role of ensuring that our government remains accountable to the people will be enhanced when all in these corridors adopt the values and principles outlined in the Oversight Model.

M V Šisulu, MP
Speaker of the National Assembly

MJ Mahlangu, MP
Chairperson of the National Council of Provinces
Foreword by Co-Chairpersons

The Constitution gives Parliament specific responsibilities in respect of oversight over the Executive. In terms of sections 42(3) and 55(2) of the Constitution, the functions of the National Assembly include scrutiny and oversight of executive action providing for mechanisms to ensure that executive organs of state in the national sphere of government are accountable to it and to maintain oversight of the exercise of national executive authority and any organ of state. The constitutional mandate of the NCOP as enshrined in section 42(4) provides that the National Council of Provinces represents the provinces to ensure that provincial interests are taken into account in the national sphere of government. It does this mainly by participating in the national legislative process and by providing a national forum for public consideration of issues affecting the provinces. In terms of section 92 (2) members of the cabinet are accountable collectively and individually to Parliament for the exercise of their powers and the performance of their functions.

As Parliament had not yet put in place sufficient mechanisms and procedures to fulfil its oversight functions and to hold government departments and organs of state accountable, it engaged the services of a consultant at the beginning of 1999 to research Parliament’s oversight functions, to identify areas in which Parliament is required to exercise oversight, to assess existing parliamentary mechanisms and procedures to hold the Executive accountable and to make recommendations to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of parliamentary oversight. The report of the consultant was submitted to Parliament in July 1999. The Joint Rules Committee established an ad hoc Joint Subcommittee on Oversight and Accountability to consider the report and make recommendations to the Joint Rules Committee on its findings.

The subcommittee held a number of meetings and interviewed key parliamentary role-players before presenting the Joint Rules Committee with its final report in 2002. The Joint Rules Committee approved the recommendations contained in the report and requested that an implementation plan be considered in respect of the recommendations. In 2003, it was decided to set up a Task Team on Oversight and Accountability consisting of members of both Houses to lead the implementation of the subcommittee’s proposals. The House Chairperson in the National Assembly and the House Chairperson in the National Council of Provinces responsible for oversight were tasked with driving the process.
The task team established three focus groups, namely budget processes, projects and committees. The overarching objective was to develop a model for Parliament’s oversight function that was both in line with the new strategic vision and that would produce the resultant realignment of resources to fulfil Parliament’s mandate with greater efficiency and effectiveness.

The report of the task team, which subsequently became known as the Oversight and Accountability Model, was adopted in principle by the Joint Rules Committee on 19 March 2008. The Model was adopted on 17 February 2009 by the National Assembly and on 19 March 2009 by the National Council of Provinces.

The Model is the outcome of contributions by former colleagues who were instrumental in facilitating and giving guidance to the technical teams over the years. The process of developing the Model began under the guidance of Mr N Nhleko, the House Chairperson dealing with oversight and accountability issues in the National Assembly and Mr T S Setona, the House Chairperson in the National Council of Provinces dealing with oversight and accountability matters. It was thereafter taken forward by the National Assembly House Chairperson dealing with International Relations and Oversight, Mr K O Bapela, who together with Mr T Setona jointly led the Task Team until the Model was adopted by the Joint Rules Committee. When the model was referred to committees, Mr Setona continued with his responsibilities on the NCOP side, working jointly with the NA House Chairpersons for Committees and Oversight, Mr G Q M Doidge and later with Mr A C Nel. With the coming into being of the fourth Parliament the responsibility for the publication and implementation of the Model was transferred in the NCOP to Ms N M Magadla and thereafter to Mr R J Tau, House Chairperson for Committees and Oversight in the NCOP and on the side of the National Assembly, to Mr Bapela (NA) , when he became the House Chairperson for Committees, Oversight & ICT.

C T Frolick, MP
House Chairperson: Committees, Oversight and ICT
National Assembly

R J Tau, MP
House Chairperson: Committees and Oversight
National Council of Provinces
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Introduction
**CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

1.1 **Constitutional precepts guiding the vision and mission of Parliament**

Parliament’s strategic vision is to build an effective people’s Parliament that is responsive to the needs of the people, and that is driven by the ideal of realising a better quality of life for all the people of South Africa and its mission is to represent and act as a voice of the people in fulfilling Parliament’s constitutional functions of passing laws and overseeing executive action.

Based on the vision and mission of Parliament and the constitutional requirements Parliament hereby develops mechanisms to guide its work on oversight in the form of an oversight model.

Historically, the 1994 elections ushered in a new democratic order in South Africa. The extraordinary participation by South Africans showed that we desired an end to the divisions of the past and a move towards establishing a society based on democratic values, social justice and fundamental human rights. The process of negotiations, which preceded the 1994 elections, resulted in the drafting of a new Constitution, as adopted on 8 May 1996 by the Constitutional Assembly.

The mandate of Parliament is achieved through passing legislation, overseeing government action, and facilitating public participation and international participation. The role of Parliament includes the promotion of the values of human dignity, equality, non-racialism, non-sexism, the supremacy of the Constitution, universal adult suffrage and a multi-party system of democratic government. It upholds our citizens’ political rights, the basic values and principles governing public administration, and oversees the implementation of constitutional imperatives.

Much of Parliament’s focus in the first decade of democracy was on ensuring the transformation of South Africa’s legislative landscape, in line with the country’s first democratic Constitution, Act 108 of 1996. In this process, Parliament’s oversight function received less attention, and was compounded further by the reality that the Constitution deals with Parliament’s legislative authority in more detail compared to its oversight role.

In giving credence to its increasingly important oversight role, Parliament’s new strategic vision, namely to build an effective people’s Parliament “… that is driven by the ideal of
realising a better quality of life for all the people of South Africa”, underpinned the manner in which the organisation began engaging on the need to institutionalise public participation as an integral part of its oversight function. The motivation for political delegations to undertake the management of the legislative and oversight programme of Parliament demands capacity, competence and collective action.

1.2 Constitutional requirement for mechanisms on oversight

Against this backdrop, and in the context of sections 42(3) and 55(2) of the Constitution, as well as various provisions that imply oversight functions of the National Council of Provinces, Parliament through the Joint Rules Committee established a Task Team on Oversight and Accountability comprising members of both Houses of Parliament, which studied the mandates relating to oversight emanating from the Constitution. The task team established three focus groups, that of, the Projects Focus Group, the Budget and the Committees. The objective was to develop an oversight model for Parliament in line with the Constitution and Parliament’s new strategic vision, together with the realignment of resources to fulfil its mandate with greater efficiency.

The model’s primary objective is to provide the framework that describes how Parliament conducts oversight. It seeks to improve existing tools of parliamentary oversight, streamline components of the new oversight model with existing components, and enhance Parliament’s capacity to fulfil its oversight function in line with Parliament’s new strategic direction.

Furthermore, the rationale for the Oversight and Accountability Model was to scrutinise existing practices and/or mechanisms used as a prototype, something to be measured or standardised, and thereafter interrogate and offer alternatives that could be utilised in the future. An oversight and accountability model must therefore comprise the most important, features, which include -

• the values and principles by which Parliament conducts oversight;
• the mechanism or framework to conduct oversight; and
• the processes and resources required for conducting oversight.

The model is not dogmatic and will adapt from time to time depending on the context and circumstances.
1.3 Composition and mandates of Parliament

Parliament consists of two Houses, namely the National Assembly (NA) and the National Council of Provinces (NCOP). Section 42(3) of the Constitution provides that the National Assembly is elected to represent the people and to ensure government by the people under the Constitution. It does this by choosing the president, by providing a national forum for public consideration of issues, by passing legislation and by scrutinising and overseeing executive action. The Assembly is further required in terms of section 55(2) to provide mechanisms to ensure that all executive organs of state in the national sphere of government are accountable to it; and to maintain oversight of the exercise of national executive authority, including the implementation of legislation, and any organ of state.

The National Council of Provinces represents the provinces to ensure that the provincial interests are taken into account in the national sphere of government as stated in section 42(4) of the Constitution. The Council does this mainly by participating in the national legislative process and by providing a national forum for public consideration of issues affecting the provinces. The Council’s role is to exercise oversight over the national aspects of provincial and local government. It contributes to effective government by ensuring that provincial and local concerns are recognised in national policy making and that provincial, local and national governments work together effectively.

In addition, Parliament -

• facilitates public participation, involvement and transparency;
• facilitates co-operative government;
• facilitates international participation; and
• represents the interests of the people.

Based on these mandates, the Constitution further requires Parliament to develop mechanisms for oversight.

1.4 Mandates of focus groups

1.4.1 Projects Focus Group [Constitutional Landscape Governing Oversight]

The terms of reference of this group were to -

• conduct constitutional landscoping;
• conduct an audit of bodies performing public functions;
• analyse the oversight role of institutions supporting democracy; and
• review rules on oversight.

1.4.2 Committees Focus Group

The terms of reference of this group were to -
• draft the best practice guide in respect of oversight practices of committees;
• draft guidelines for portfolio and select committees to allow for joint planning and oversight work;
• draft guidelines on joint planning on protocols for structured communication between the two Houses of Parliament;
• draft recommendations for capacity development of committees; and
• draft recommendations on appropriate record-keeping systems and monitoring mechanisms in the Committee Section.

1.4.3 Budget Focus Group

The terms of reference of this group were to -
• develop procedure for the amendment of money bills; and
• draft legislation on the amendment of money bills.
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CHAPTER 2: THE ROLE OF PARLIAMENT IN RELATION TO OVERSIGHT
AND ACCOUNTABILITY AS MANDATED BY THE CONSTITUTION

2.1 Defining oversight

The conventional Westminster view on oversight, as inherited by many former British colonies, is often rather adversarial and in some instances oversight is professed to be the purview of opposition politicians and not the legislature as an institution. The emphasis is placed on the oversight role of legislatures, especially as it relates to ensuring government compliance with approved public spending. The task team adopted the following definition of oversight:

In the South African context, oversight is a constitutionally mandated function of legislative organs of state to scrutinise and oversee executive action and any organ of state.

It follows that oversight entails the informal and formal, watchful, strategic and structured scrutiny exercised by legislatures in respect of the implementation of laws, the application of the budget, and the strict observance of statutes and the Constitution. In addition, and most importantly, it entails overseeing the effective management of government departments by individual members of Cabinet in pursuit of improved service delivery for the achievement of a better quality of life for all citizens.

In terms of the provisions of the Constitution and the Joint Rules, Parliament has power to conduct oversight of all organs of state, including those at provincial and local government level.

The appropriate mechanism for Parliament to conduct oversight of these organs of state would be through parliamentary committees. In conducting oversight, the committee would either request a briefing from the organ of state or visit the organ of state for fact-finding, depending on the purpose of the oversight. The committees would have to consider the appropriate means for conducting oversight to cover all organs of state.

One of the most important aspects of the oversight function is the consideration by committees of annual reports of organs of state and the Auditor-General’s reports.
2.1.1 Functions of oversight

The concept of oversight contains many aspects which include political, administrative, financial, ethical, legal and strategic elements. The functions of oversight are:

• To detect and prevent abuse, arbitrary behaviour or illegal and unconstitutional conduct on the part of the government and public agencies. At the core of this function is the protection of the rights and liberties of citizens.
• To hold the government to account in respect of how the taxpayers’ money is used. It detects waste within the machinery of government and public agencies. Thus it can improve the efficiency, economy and effectiveness of government operations.
• To ensure that policies announced by government and authorised by Parliament are actually delivered. This function includes monitoring the achievement of goals set by legislation and the government’s own programmes.
• To improve the transparency of government operations and enhance public trust in the government, which is itself a condition of effective policy delivery.

2.2 Defining accountability

Accountability can be broadly defined as -

a social relationship where an actor (an individual or an agency) feels an obligation to explain and justify his or her conduct to some significant other (the accountability forum, accountee, specific person or agency).

Accountability is the hallmark of modern democratic governance. Democracy remains clichéd if those in power cannot be held accountable in public for their acts or omissions, for their decisions, their expenditure or policies. Historically, the concept of accountability was closely linked to accounting in the financial sense. It has however moved far beyond its origins and has become a symbol of good governance both in the public and private sectors. Accountability refers to institutionalised practices of giving account of how assigned responsibilities are carried out.
2.2.1 Functions of accountability

The functions of accountability include the following:

- To enhance the integrity of public governance in order to safeguard government against corruption, nepotism, abuse of power and other forms of inappropriate behaviour.
- As an institutional arrangement, to effect democratic control.
- To improve performance, which will foster institutional learning and service delivery.
- In regard to transparency, responsiveness and answerability, to assure public confidence in government and bridge the gap between the governed and the government and ensure public confidence in government.
- To enable the public to judge the performance of the government by the government giving account in public.

Notwithstanding the fact that section 55 of the Constitution enables the National Assembly to maintain oversight over all organs of state and section 92 which enables Parliament to hold the Cabinet accountable operationally, organs of state at national level and Ministers and their departments are generally held to account by Parliament. At national level, there is direct accountability to Parliament by national departments, national public entities and national bodies such as commissions.

The National Assembly does however have the right to call organs of state at provincial and local level to account, but does not do so operationally unless there are issues of public importance, national interest and shared competencies. Accountability to Parliament by organs of state at provincial and local level must be conducted through observance of the Intergovernmental Framework Relations Act and the principles of co-operative government.

When national departments account to Parliament by means which include the submission of reports, for example annual reports etc, Parliament needs to be informed of the complete picture of the performance of the functions reported on. The consideration of the annual report of the department alone may not give the complete picture of the performance of the functions. This is so because national departments have public entities that are agencies of implementation of their functions, and their activities may not be reported in the annual report of the national department.
In conducting oversight and accountability, the principles of co-operative government and intergovernmental relations must be taken into consideration, including the separation of powers and the need for all spheres of government and all organs of state to exercise their powers and perform their functions in a manner that does not encroach on the geographical, functional or institutional integrity of government in another sphere.

The illustration (Figure 1) below depicts the linkages in creating efficiency and ensuring co-ordination on co-operative government which ultimately lead to oversight and accountability at national, provincial and local levels of government.

**Figure 1**
### 2.3 Constitutional provisions expressing powers and functions of Parliament on oversight and accountability

The relevant constitutional provisions that refer directly and indirectly to oversight and accountability are the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 41(2)</td>
<td>An Act of Parliament must establish or provide for structures and institutions to promote and facilitate intergovernmental relations and must provide for appropriate mechanisms and procedures to facilitate settlement of intergovernmental disputes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 42(3) &amp; (4)</td>
<td>(3) The National Assembly is elected to represent the people and to ensure government by the people under the Constitution. It does this by choosing the President, by providing a national forum for public consideration of issues, by passing legislation and by scrutinising and overseeing executive action. (4) The National Council of Provinces represents the provinces to ensure that provincial interests are taken into account in the national sphere of government. It does this mainly by participating in the national legislative process and by providing a national forum for public consideration of issues affecting provinces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 55(2)</td>
<td>The National Assembly must provide for mechanisms – (a) to ensure that all executive organs of state in the national sphere of government are accountable to it; and (b) to maintain oversight of - (i) the exercise of national executive authority, including the implementation of legislation; and (ii) any organ of state.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Section 56 | The National Assembly or any of its committees may -
|           | (a) summon any person to appear before it to give evidence on oath or affirmation, or to produce documents;
|           | (b) require any person or institution to report to it;
|           | (c) compel, in terms of national legislation or the rules and orders, any person or institution to comply with a summons or requirement in terms of paragraph (a) or (b); and
|           | (d) receive petitions, representations or submissions from any interested persons or institutions. |
| Section 66(2) | The National Council of Provinces may require a Cabinet member, a Deputy Minister or an official in the national executive or a provincial executive to attend a meeting of the Council or a committee of the Council. |
| Section 67 | Not more than 10 part-time representatives designated by organised local government representing the different categories of municipalities may participate in the proceedings of the National Council of Provinces when necessary, but may not vote. |
| Section 69 | The National Council of Provinces or any of its committees may –
|           | (a) summon any person to appear before it to give evidence on oath or affirmation, or to produce documents;
|           | (b) require any person or institution to report to it;
|           | (c) compel, in terms of national legislation or the rules and orders, any person or institution to comply with a summons or requirement in terms of paragraph (a) or (b); and
|           | (d) receive petitions, representations or submissions from any interested persons or institutions. |
| Section 70(1) | The National Council of Provinces may –
| | (a) determine and control its internal arrangements, proceedings
| | and procedures; and
| | (b) make rules and orders concerning its business, with due regard
| | to representative and participatory democracy, accountability,
| | transparency and public involvement. |
| Section 89 | (1) The National Assembly, by a resolution adopted with a
| | supporting vote of at least two thirds of its members, may remove
| | the President from office only on the grounds of –
| | (a) a serious violation of the Constitution or the law;
| | (b) serious misconduct; or
| | (c) inability to perform the functions of office.
| | (2) Anyone who has been removed from the office of President in
| | terms of subsection (1)(a) or (b) may not receive any benefits of
| | that office, and may not serve in any public office. |
| Section 92 | (2) Members of the Cabinet are accountable collectively and
| | individually to Parliament for the exercise of their powers and the
| | performance of their functions.
| | (3) Members of the Cabinet must ... provide Parliament with full
| | and regular reports concerning matters under their control. |
| Section 93(2) | Deputy Ministers ... are accountable to Parliament for the exercise
| | of their powers and the performance of their functions. |
### Section 100(2)

If the national executive intervenes in a province by assuming responsibility for the relevant obligation which that province cannot or does not fulfil, the national executive must submit a written notice of the intervention to the National Council of Provinces within 14 days after the intervention began. The intervention must end if the Council disapproves the intervention within 180 days after the intervention began or by the end of that period has not approved the intervention. The Council must, while the intervention continues, review the intervention regularly and may make any appropriate recommendations to the national executive.

### Section 102

(1) If the National Assembly, by a vote supported by a majority of its members, passes a motion of no confidence in the Cabinet excluding the President, the President must reconstitute the Cabinet.

(2) If the National Assembly, by a vote supported by a majority of its members, passes a motion of no confidence in the President, the President and the other members of the Cabinet and any Deputy Ministers must resign.

### Section 114(2)

A provincial legislature must provide for mechanisms –

(a) to ensure that all provincial executive organs of state in the province are accountable to it; and

(b) to maintain oversight of -

(i) the exercise of provincial executive authority in the province, including the implementation of legislation; and

(ii) any provincial organ of state.

### Section 125(4)

Any dispute concerning the administrative capacity of a province in regard to any function must be referred to the National Council of Provinces for resolution within 30 days of the date of the referral to the Council.
### Section 133 (2) & (3)

(2) Members of the Executive Council of a province are accountable collectively and individually to the provincial legislature for the exercise of their powers and the performance of their functions.

(3) Members of the Executive Council of a province must provide the provincial legislature with full and regular reports concerning matters under their control.

### Section 139(2)

If a provincial executive intervenes in a municipality which cannot or does not fulfil an executive obligation by assuming responsibility for the relevant obligation in that municipality, the provincial executive must submit a written notice of the intervention to the Cabinet member responsible for local government affairs and the relevant provincial legislature and the National Council of Provinces within 14 days after the intervention began.

The intervention must end if the Cabinet member responsible for local government affairs disapproves the intervention within 28 days after the intervention began or by the end of that period has not approved the intervention, or if the Council disapproves the intervention within 180 days after the intervention began or by the end of that period has not approved the intervention. The Council must, while the intervention continues, review the intervention regularly and may make any appropriate recommendations to the provincial executive.
### Section 139(3)

When the relevant provincial executive intervenes in a municipality which cannot or does not fulfil an executive obligation by dissolving the Municipal Council, the provincial executive must immediately submit a written notice of the dissolution to the Cabinet member responsible for local government affairs and the relevant provincial legislature and the National Council of Provinces. The dissolution takes effect 14 days from the date of receipt of the notice by the Council unless set aside by that Cabinet member or the Council before the expiry of those 14 days.

### Section 139(6)

If a provincial executive intervenes in a municipality in terms of subsection (4) or (5), it must submit a written notice of the intervention to –
(a) the Cabinet member responsible for local government affairs;
and (b) the relevant provincial legislature and the National Council of Provinces within seven days after the intervention began.

### Section 146(6)

A law made in terms of an Act of Parliament or a provincial Act can prevail only if that law has been approved by the National Council of Provinces.

### Section 154(1)

The national government and provincial governments, by legislative and other measures, must support and strengthen the capacity of municipalities to manage their own affairs, to exercise their powers and to perform their functions.
| Section 155(6) | Each provincial government must establish municipalities in its province in a manner consistent with the applicable national legislation and, by legislative or other measures, must - (a) provide for the monitoring and support of local government in the province; and (b) promote the development of local government capacity to enable municipalities to perform their functions and manage their own affairs. |
| Section 155(7) | The national government, subject to section 44, and the provincial governments have the legislative and executive authority to see to the effective performance by municipalities of their functions in respect of matters listed in Schedules 4 and 5, by regulating the exercise by municipalities of their executive authority. |
| Section 194(1) | The Public Protector, the Auditor-General or a member of a Commission established by this Chapter may be removed from office only on – (a) the ground of misconduct, incapacity or incompetence; (b) a finding to that effect by a committee of the National Assembly; and (c) the adoption by the Assembly of a resolution calling for that person’s removal from office. |
| Section 199(8) | To give effect to the principles of transparency and accountability, multi-party parliamentary committees must have oversight of all security services in a manner determined by national legislation or the rules and orders of Parliament. |
Section 201(3) & (4)

(3) When the defence force is employed in co-operation with the police service; in defence of the Republic or in fulfilment of an international obligation, the President must inform Parliament promptly and in appropriate detail.

(4) If Parliament does not sit during the first seven days after the defence force is employed as envisaged in subsection (2), the President must provide the required information to the appropriate oversight committee.

Section 203

(1) The President as head of the national executive may declare a state of national defence, and must inform Parliament promptly and in appropriate detail of -

(a) the reasons for the declaration;
(b) any place where the defence force is being employed; and
(c) the number of people involved.

(2) If Parliament is not sitting when a state of national defence is declared, the President must summon Parliament to an extraordinary sitting within seven days of the declaration.

(3) A declaration of a state of national defence lapses unless it is approved by Parliament within seven days of the declaration.

Section 206(9)

A provincial legislature may require the provincial commissioner of the province to appear before it or any of its committees to answer questions.

Section 210

National legislation must regulate the objects, powers and functions of the intelligence services, including any intelligence division of the defence force or police service, and must provide for -

(a) the co-ordination of all intelligence services; and
(b) civilian monitoring of the activities of those services by an inspector appointed by the President, as head of the national executive, and approved by a resolution adopted by the National Assembly with a supporting vote of at least two thirds of its members.
In the context of the constitutionally mandated provisions of accountability and oversight as listed above, the chapters below detail the existing processes undertaken by the South African Parliament to fulfil its constitutional oversight and accountability obligations. The focus is also placed on the gaps in current rules pertaining to oversight, and some mechanisms are recommended to address these challenges.
Chapter 3

Institutional Characteristics of Oversight and Accountability and Existing Mechanisms Utilised by Parliament
CHAPTER 3: INSTITUTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY AND EXISTING MECHANISMS UTILISED BY PARLIAMENT

3.1 Current mechanisms for oversight and accountability

Parliament has established mechanisms to fulfil its oversight and accountability mandates in terms of the Constitution and under the rules established by the two Houses, individually and jointly. Committees can interact with civil society organisations, organised business, experts and professional bodies as a way of enhancing accountability and can call Ministers and departmental heads to account on any issue relating to any matter over which they are effecting accountability within the ambit of the provisions of sections 56 and 69 of the Constitution and legislation. Current practices and oversight mechanisms include the committees of Parliament (with their associated practices) and plenary processes as listed below.

3.1.1 Practices of committees to effect oversight and accountability

The mandates of the committees are provided for in the rules of each House and the Joint Rules. Committees offer a setting which facilitates detailed scrutiny of legislation, oversight of government activities and interaction with the public and external factors. Consideration of committee reports is necessary because committees work as intermediary bodies between interest groups and government and are an entry point for citizens to the work of Parliament. In addition, the work of committees include study visits that entail physical inspections, conversing with people, assessing the impact of delivery and developing reports for adoption by committees which contain recommendations for the Houses to consider. In exercising oversight, committees often obtain first-hand knowledge from people engaged in the direct implementation of specific programmes and/or who are directly responsible for service delivery. In order to evaluate the work of government from a broader perspective, committees may invite experts from outside government to provide background knowledge and analysis on relevant issues.
Reports and mandates of committees

Parliamentary committees are established as instruments of the Houses in terms of the Constitution, legislation, the Joint Rules, Rules of the NCOP, Rules of the NA, and resolutions of the Houses to facilitate oversight and the monitoring of the Executive, and for this purpose they are provided with procedural, administrative and logistical support - they are regarded as the engine rooms of Parliament.

Parliamentary committees have various tools of oversight as listed above, including departmental briefing sessions, annual and departmental budget analyses, calls for submissions and petitions from the public, the consideration of strategic plans and annual reports, and public hearings.

Whilst committees have established ways of conducting their oversight functions, their business generally runs parallel to government’s political cycle, unless there are specific “ad hoc” oversight functions that are required. In programming their oversight activities, they would thus act in a responsive/reactive manner.

A committee conducts its business on behalf of the House and must therefore report back to the House on matters referred to it for consideration and report. A committee may also report on any other matter within the scope of its mandate that it considers necessary in terms of NA Rule 137(2) and NCOP Rule 102(2).

When a committee reports its recommendations to the House for formal consideration and the House adopts the Committee report, it gives the recommendations the force of a formal House resolution pursuant to its constitutional function of conducting oversight. The House then also monitors executive compliance with these recommendations.

The following types of committee reports are presented to the House:

1. Legislation (in terms of sections 74, 75, 76 or 77 of the Constitution);
2. Study tours;
3. Oversight activities of committees, including responses to annual reports and financial statements of departments;
4. International agreements;
5. Private members’ legislative proposals;
6. Budget votes;
7. Petitions;
8. Statutory provisions (for example the filling of vacancies in a statutory body);
9. Annual reports of committee activities and performance against their strategic plans;
10. Any matter referred to committees for consideration and report in terms of NA Rule 137 and NCOP Rule 102.

Once a report has been adopted by the House, the Speaker communicates the recommendations of the House to the relevant Minister and copies the relevant House Chairperson, portfolio committee Chairperson and Director-General. The Speaker also requests the Minister to direct his or her responses to the Speaker for formal tabling.

The Secretary to Parliament communicates with the Director-General in the Presidency on all resolutions.

**Portfolio committees of the NA and select committees of the NCOP**

The mandate of oversight resides with the NA and the NCOP and through their respective rules, the NA establishes portfolio committees and the NCOP establishes select committees. Portfolio committees mirror portfolios in government whilst select committees mirror the clusters in government. Due to the fact that committees conduct their business on behalf of their respective Houses, they report to the relevant House individually and separately on matters referred to them to ensure that each House may make any decisions it deems necessary.

**Joint committees**

Joint committees are committees that are established in terms of the Joint Rules and have similar powers to portfolio committees and select committees, except that they have specific mandates relating to transversal issues, such as women, children, youth and disability.

**Ad hoc committees**

When necessary, Parliament establishes ad hoc committees to assist in its investigation of transversal issues.

**Joint standing committees**

Parliament, in accordance with the Constitution, legislation and the rules, can establish standing committees. Two joint standing committees currently exist in Parliament through legislation, namely the Joint Standing Committee on Intelligence and the Joint Standing Committee on Defence.
Specialised committees
The NA Rules and the Public Audit Act (No 25 of 2004) establish the Committee on the Auditor-General with a mandate to maintain oversight over the Auditor-General and perform functions in terms of the Public Audit Act. The Joint Committee on Ethics and Members’ Interests is established by the Joint Rules (Rule 121) to implement the Code of Conduct for Assembly and permanent Council members and develop standards of ethical conduct for Assembly and Council members. The Committee on Public Accounts is established by the NA Rules (Rule 204) and is tasked with considering financial statements of all executive organs of state and constitutional institutions, any audit reports issued on those statements as well as any reports issued by the Auditor-General on the affairs of any executive organ of state or other public bodies or any other financial statements or reports referred to the committee in terms of the rules.

3.1.2 Plenary processes for effecting oversight and accountability

Budget Votes
Budget votes occur when the Minister of Finance announces the budget projections for the next financial year, as well as the budget votes of each Minister (department). In the Budget the Minister of Finance sets out how much money the government will spend in the following year. Parliament must approve the Budget. Subsequent to the presentation of the Budget by the Minister of Finance, each parliamentary committee has hearings with the government department over which that committee exercises oversight and can also check whether the department kept the promises of the previous year and spent taxpayers’ money properly. The budget votes are debated in the National Assembly and the National Council of Provinces once committees have finished discussing the different budget votes.

Questions
Section 92 of the Constitution stipulates that members of the Cabinet are accountable collectively and individually to Parliament for the exercise of their powers and the performance of their functions. The procedure of putting questions to the Executive is one of the ways in which Parliament holds the Executive to account. Questions can be put for oral or written reply to the President, the Deputy President and the Cabinet Ministers on matters for which they are responsible. Question time affords members of Parliament the opportunity to question members of the Executive on service delivery, policy and other executive action on behalf of both their political parties and the electorate.
Members’ statements
This is the process whereby members of Parliament are afforded the opportunity to make statements on any matter in the House.

Statements by Cabinet members
Ministers may make factual or policy statements in relation to government policy, executive action and other similar matters of which the Assembly should be informed. The Minister asks the Speaker for the opportunity to make such a statement, which should not be longer than 20 minutes.

Notices of motion
Motions are one of the mechanisms available to members of all political parties which can be used to help fulfil their oversight responsibilities in Parliament by bringing issues to Parliament for debate. Notice must be given of a motion unless it is by way of an amendment to a draft resolution, raising a point of order or a question of privilege, the postponement or discharge of or giving precedence to an order for the day, referring a bill to a committee, the proposal of a draft resolution on the report of a committee immediately after a debate on the report has been concluded, or in regard to which notice is dispensed with by the unanimous concurrence of all the members present. Notice must be given of every motion since in principle the House must be informed in advance of any substantive motion so that members and parties have time to prepare to debate it. Notices of motion are therefore a vital tool which can be used by members to bring matters of political importance before Parliament for debate or a decision.

Motions without notice
Motions which require notice may be moved without notice provided no single member present objects. It is therefore common practice for parties to be consulted before the House meets when seeking to move a motion without notice, and to inform the presiding officer of the intention to do so. Motions without notice are moved when the presiding officer calls for any formal motions, usually near the beginning of the day’s sitting. This medium allows for consultation between parties to obtain consensus on issues that must be brought to the attention of the House.

Plenary debates
Plenary debates are a further means to bring important information to the attention of the Executive regarding specific government programmes and legislation required to improve service delivery. In plenary debates, certain mechanisms for conducting oversight are used. These include question time, the consideration of committee reports, showcasing, scrutinising and debating the implementation of policy and budget votes, members’ statements and questions by members of Parliament, which draw
the attention of the Executive to the concerns of members’ constituents.

3.1.3 **Use of activities and reports from state institutions supporting constitutional democracy to enhance Parliament’s oversight functions**

These institutions have particular mandates as provided for in the Constitution and by way of additional Acts of Parliament that prescribe their functions and powers. The institutions are independent and subject only to the Constitution and the law, and they must be impartial and must exercise their powers and perform their functions without fear, favour or prejudice. In terms of section 181(3) of the Constitution other organs of state, through legislative measures, have to assist and protect the aforementioned institutions to ensure their independence, impartiality, dignity and effectiveness.

In terms of section 181(5) these institutions are accountable to the National Assembly and must report on their activities and the performance of their functions to the Assembly at least once a year.

The institutions are:

- The Auditor-General (AG);
- The Commission for Gender Equality (CGE);
- The Public Protector (PP);
- The Electoral Commission (EC);
- The South African Human Rights Commission (SAHRC); and

The task team considered the views and concerns raised by state institutions supporting constitutional democracy during the interviews and in their submissions. Weaknesses were identified in the current parliamentary mechanisms. However, concomitant to the work conducted by the task team, Parliament established the Ad Hoc Committee on the Review of Chapter 9 and Associated Institutions. The committee’s mandate was broader than that of the task team and as a result it was agreed that direction would be obtained from the outcomes of that process.

It is recommended that Parliament develop clear mechanisms to enable the reporting by state institutions supporting constitutional democracy on their activities and the performance of their functions as prescribed in the Constitution and related Acts of Parliament.
The second recommendation is for Parliament to put in place processes in order to allow the reports to be referred to committees for consideration, oversight, and reporting back on issues to plenary sessions.

### 3.1.4 Other statutory institutions supporting democracy

**Financial and Fiscal Commission (FFC)**

The FFC is an advisory body and has a mandate to make recommendations on financial and fiscal matters to Parliament, the provincial legislatures, and any other institutions of government when necessary. The FFC is separate from government and is therefore able to perform impartial checks and balances between the three levels of government. It facilitates co-operative government on intergovernmental fiscal matters. At least 10 months before the start of each financial year, the Commission must submit recommendations for that financial year to both Houses of Parliament and the provincial legislatures, with particular regard to:

- An equitable division of revenue raised nationally, amongst the national, provincial and local spheres of government;
- The determination of the equitable share of each province when revenue is divided between the nine provinces; and
- Any other allocations to provinces, local government or municipalities from the national government’s share of that revenue, and any conditions on which those allocations should be made.

**National Youth Commission (NYC)**

The National Youth Commission is a statutory body of government established through the National Youth Commission Act, No 19 of 1996. The Commission consists of five full-time members, five part-time members and nine commissioners, nominated by Premiers of each province and appointed at national level. The National Youth Policy has been designed to address the major needs, challenges and opportunities of young men and women.

**Pan South African Language Board (Pansalb)**

The purpose of the Pan South African Language Board is to promote multilingualism in South Africa by:

- creating the conditions for the development and equal use of all official languages;
- fostering respect for and encouraging the use of other languages in the country; and
encouraging the best use of the country’s linguistic resources to enable South Africans to free themselves from all forms of linguistic discrimination, domination and division, and to enable them to exercise appropriate linguistic choices for their own well-being, as well as for national development.

Public Service Commission (PSC)
The PSC derives its mandate from sections 195 and 196 of the Constitution. The PSC is tasked and empowered, amongst others, to investigate, monitor and evaluate the organisation and administration of the public service. This mandate also entails the evaluation of achievements, or lack thereof, of government programmes. The PSC also has an obligation to promote measures that will ensure effective and efficient performance within the public service and to promote basic values and principles of public administration, as set out in the Constitution, throughout the public service.

Independent Communications Authority of South Africa (Icasa)
The Independent Communications Authority of South Africa derives its mandate from several statutes: The Independent Communications Authority of South Africa Act of 2005, the Independent Broadcasting Authority Act, the Broadcasting Act and the South African Telecommunications Regulatory Authority Act and the Icasa Amendment Act. The Electronic Communications Act substantially amended the IBA Act of 1993 and the Broadcasting Act of 1999. The Authority regulates the telecommunications and broadcasting industries in the public interest. Its key functions are to:

• make regulations and policies that govern broadcasting and telecommunications;
• issue licences to providers of telecommunications services and broadcasters;
• monitor the environment and enforce compliance with rules, regulations and policies;
• hear and decide on disputes and complaints brought by industry or members of the public against licensees
• plan, control and manage the frequency spectrum; and
• protect consumers from unfair business practices, poor quality services and harmful or inferior products.

Organs of state
An “organ of state” is defined in section 239 of the Constitution as –
(a) any department of state or administration in the national, provincial or local sphere of government; or
(b) any other functionary or institution –
   (i) exercising a power or performing a function in terms of the Constitution or a provincial
classification; or
   (ii) exercising a public power or performing a public function in terms of any legislation, but does
       not include a court or a judicial officer ….

Section 239 divides organs of state essentially into two categories. The first category is descriptive in
terms of which organs of state are defined as any department of state or administration in the national,
provincial or local sphere of government. This category is, we believe, self-defining. For example, in
its interpretation of this first category, the Corder Report (1999: 13) included bodies represented in
Cabinet as comprising “national executive authority”, where accountability is vested at the political level
via the doctrine of ministerial responsibility (section 92(2)). The terms “any department of state or
administration” can further be traced to the Public Service Act (No 103 of 1994), which refers specifically
to national and provincial “departments”, and other departments. Finally, the inclusion of the term
“local” in this category in section 239 must also logically include municipalities, as these constitute
administrative bodies operating in the local sphere of government.

The second category in section 239 is subdivided, and focuses on the conduct or activity of the organ of
state and on the empowering provisions. These two subcategories are:

• section 239(b)(i): any other functionary or institution exercising a power or performing a
  function in terms of the Constitution or any provincial constitution; and
• section 239(b)(ii): any other functionary or institution exercising a public power or performing
  a public function in terms of any legislation, but does not include a court or a judicial officer.

If the institution or functionary is exercising a power or performing a function in terms of the
Constitution or a provincial constitution, then it is an organ of state. The nature of the power
exercised or the function performed is irrelevant. In this category, the source of the power is
the determining criterion.

All organs of state in the national sphere of government must account to the National Assembly and
they do this mainly by way of the submission of annual reports. As per section 55(2)(b)(ii), the Assembly
has power to conduct oversight over all organs of state.
There is an increasing number of listed organs of state, of which only national organs of state are required to submit their annual reports to Parliament as part of their accountability to Parliament, based on sections 55(2)(a) and 69(b) of the Constitution in that the executive organs of state in the national sphere of government account to Parliament.

Other public bodies and institutions listed as national organs of state include institutions such as universities and business units or subsidiaries of other national organs of state. These institutions do not have to table their annual reports in Parliament because their activities are reported on in the annual reports of the main public entities they belong to. For example, Intersite Property Management Services (Pty) Ltd is a subsidiary of the SA Rail Commuter Corporation Ltd and should be reported on in the annual report of the SA Rail Commuter Corporation Ltd.

Information in relation to public bodies as commissioned from the Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC) Report will be used as the main source to establish which institutions are required to report to Parliament. In this regard, Parliament will know which institutions are supposed to table annual reports as required by the Public Finance Management Act and related legislation.

It is important when departments or public entities at national level account to Parliament through the National Assembly and when provinces report to the National Council of Provinces that both Houses are informed of the complete scope of the function that the department or public entity is reporting on.

It is therefore recommended that the performance of these other public bodies and institutions be included in the reports to Parliament. If not, Parliament can, in terms of sections 56(b) and 69(b) of the Constitution, require of the entity to report to it in order that Parliament has the complete picture of the function reported on. In this regard, the mandate of the National Assembly by virtue of section 55(2) (b)(ii) entitles it to exercise oversight over all organs of state. A list of all organs of state, public bodies and institutions are attached hereto for reference purposes to assist portfolio and select committees to identify which institutions are required to report and be accountable to them.

3.2 Tools for oversight and accountability

Currently South Africa has designed the following tools in relation to oversight and accountability. For ease of reference, these tools have been split into four categories: Category 1 lists tools of established
legislation and long-term plans; Category 2 contains tools relating to annual, monthly and weekly activities; Category 3 lists financial instruments; and Category 4 relates to issues arising from institutions supporting constitutional democracy.

**Category 1:**
- Constitution of the Republic
- Legislation
- Government Programme of Action [5-year plan].

**Category 2:**
- State-of-the-Nation Address
- Questions (written and oral)
- President
- Deputy President
- Ministers
- Members’ statements
- Ministerial statements
- Debates in the House
- Matters from constituency work
- Private member’s bills
- Individual member’s oversight
- Committee reports on legislation and oversight activities
- Committee reports on international agreements
- Departmental strategic plans
- Departmental current and past annual performance plans
- Annual reports (including annual financial statements, statements on programme performance and human resource information)
- Performance contracts
- Departmental compliance with parliamentary committee recommendations.

**Category 3:**
- Budget Speech
- Estimates of National Expenditure (ENE)
- Division of Revenue Bill
• Estimates of National Revenue
• Budget Review
• Ministers’ budget vote speeches
• Departmental budget votes
• Treasury Regulations relating to strategic planning
• Reports of the Auditor-General (including performance reports)
• Treasury reports (monthly and quarterly reports)
• Audit Reports (Scopa)
• Medium-Term Budget Policy Statement (MTBPS)
• Adjusted Estimates of National Expenditure
• Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations report
• Public Finance Management Act (PFMA)
• Financial statements (monthly financial reports and quarterly performance reports)
• Statistics South Africa reports.

Category 4:
• Reports on investigated matters of relevance by institutions supporting constitutional democracy (ISDs) and other statutory institutions supporting democracy for consideration by Parliament.
Chapter 4

NEW MECHANISMS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY AND OVERSIGHT
CHAPTER 4: **NEW MECHANISMS FOR OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

4.1 **New mechanisms**

The previous sections outlined the current parliamentary oversight and accountability mechanisms and practices. The sections below highlight potential mechanisms to further strengthen oversight and accountability:

4.1.1 **Institutional mechanisms**

Notwithstanding the existing rules on conferral, it is proposed that where committees are clustered for oversight and other legislative work, they should be able to report jointly on matters that are transversal and for the House to adopt such a cluster report.

Reports and matters arising from the same delegations representing Parliament at organisations, such as the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association, Inter-Parliamentary Union, Pan African Parliament, SADC Parliamentary Forum, Africa Caribbean and Pacific-European Union Joint Parliamentary Assembly and others, should also be tabled and be programmed for consideration by the relevant committees and, where necessary, should be debated in the relevant Houses.

It is recommended that the rules be amended to facilitate the referral of matters arising from reports of international bodies to which Parliament is affiliated to committees for consideration, where necessary, and for debate in the relevant Houses.

It is recommended that sectoral parliaments such as the Women’s Parliament, Youth Parliament, People’s Assembly and other such assemblies should be formally recognised in the rules and that provision be made for their procedures, powers and functions and for the formalisation of recommendations to be submitted to the relevant committees, where necessary, and to the relevant Houses for consideration.

Parliament currently attends to special petitions through the Committee on Private Members’ Legislative Proposals and Special Petitions. However general petitions, representations and
submissions as specified in sections 56 and 69 of the Constitution are not adequately addressed through institutionalised mechanisms.

Sections 56 and 69 require Parliament or its committees to accept petitions, representations or submissions from any interested persons or institutions. It is therefore recommended that mechanisms be put in place to facilitate the processing, referral and guidance on attending to petitions, representations and submissions. Best practices have already been established in various provinces, including the establishment of petitions offices and in some instances budget committees to assist those offices. The effectiveness of these offices can be investigated by Parliament in the process of developing mechanisms.

It is proposed that rules be amended and developed to accommodate Sub-Plenary Sessions of the National Assembly which will purport to provide an extended avenue for the debate and consideration of issues referred to it by the House which are of national concern. It is further proposed that resolutions reached and issues arising from these sessions be tabled in the House for final consideration. It is recommended that consideration be afforded to the development of mediums for deliberation, engagement and debates on broader and complex issues in traditional South African channels which include Lekgotleng, Inkundleni and Bosberaad. This will lead to a move away from the Westminster system and place greater impetus on the transformation of Parliament in the South African context.

4.1.2 Joint Parliamentary Oversight and Government Assurance Committee

In identifying mechanisms for Parliament to effect its oversight role, a gap was identified which necessitated that consideration be given to the establishment of a Joint Parliamentary Oversight and Government Assurance Committee. The committee should have some powers governing the work and function of committees of Parliament.

Its main purpose and mandate will be to consider and deal with broader, transversal and cross-cutting issues. It will furthermore pursue all assurances, undertakings and commitments given by Ministers on the floor of the House(s) and the extent to which these assurances etc have been fulfilled.

The Inter-Parliamentary Union presented a study which was a compendium of parliamentary practice as it applies to oversight. It elaborated on a broad range of tools that parliaments have at their disposal.
or may want to develop. It acknowledged that parliaments can establish a general oversight committee which co-ordinates the oversight work of other permanent committees. An oversight committee can recommend that other permanent committees investigate specific problems that it has identified. Other permanent committees can also bring matters before the general oversight committee.

It is recommended that the rules be developed to accommodate and furthermore express the functions, powers and objectives of the proposed committee. The recommended committee will be one of the subcommittees of the Joint Rules Committee chaired jointly by designated House Chairpersons and its membership will be based on the issues before it within the cluster or multi-cluster group of portfolio and select committees, and therefore it will have a rotational membership. Secretarial support will be provided by the Oversight Advisory Section and the Table staff of both Houses.

### 4.1.3 Treaties, Conventions and Protocols - Compliance including oversight on development aid

An international agreement binds the Republic only after it has been approved by resolution in both Houses, unless it is an agreement of a technical, administrative or executive nature, or an agreement which does not require either ratification or accession, in which case the agreement must be tabled in the Houses within a reasonable time.

The recommendation is that Parliament ought to be robust and proactive in the negotiations that are conducted relating to international agreements prior to the signing of these agreements, as well as in relation to oversight on allocations per programme and expenditure from overseas development aid (ODA) extended to the Republic. A mechanism needs to be established to ensure that Parliament engages with the stakeholders involved in the negotiation teams.

It is further recommended that there ought to be a mechanism to oversee compliance with international agreements and that this matter should form part of the programme of Parliament for effecting oversight and accountability. Rule 306 of the NA Rules stipulates when the Assembly’s approval is sought for an international agreement in terms of section 231(2) of the Constitution, the agreement must be submitted to the Speaker with an explanatory memorandum. The NCOP rules do not stipulate that the agreement should be submitted to the NCOP with an explanatory memorandum in the instance where matters of provincial interests are addressed in the agreement.
It is recommended that when a matter of provincial interest is addressed in the agreement, the NCOP should be provided with the agreement and an explanatory memorandum and further that the rules of the NA and the NCOP be developed to enable the presentation of reports to Parliament by the Executive prior to their presentation to international bodies to enable Parliament to assess the compliance aspects in the reports.

4.1.4 Oversight Advisory Section

In developing the oversight model, the need was identified for support services relating to the monitoring and tracking of issues between Parliament and the Executive, and on all other related matters within Parliament’s broader mandate. An Oversight and Advisory Section ought to be created in response to the need identified. Its main functions will be to provide advice, technical support, co-ordination, and tracking and monitoring mechanisms on issues arising from oversight and accountability activities of members of Parliament and the committees to which they belong. The work of this section should also include the archiving of relevant information to facilitate the retention of institutional memory.

The foreseen objectives of the Oversight Advisory Section encompass the following:

- Providing information and advisory support to parliamentary oversight activities as an information management section;
- Tracking and monitoring Executive compliance in respect of issues, that individual MPs raised flowing from constituency work;
- Assisting with tracking, monitoring and following up issues raised through the Parliamentary Democracy Offices;
- Ensuring a more co-ordinated, integrated and holistic approach to parliamentary oversight;
- Assisting with co-ordinating all oversight-related information gathered through Parliament’s public participation activities;
- Analysing substantive reports by institutions supporting constitutional democracy to advise the Houses on issues for referral to committees for consideration and report;
- Assisting with monitoring and tracking Executive compliance with House resolutions;
- Assisting with monitoring and tracking of government assurances and commitments that emanate from the floor of the Houses; and
• Monitoring and analysing debates, discussions and comments made by the public and participants in the sectoral parliaments with a view to advising the Houses on issues for consideration.

In the establishment of the Oversight and Advisory Section, the following subdivisions can be created:

• Financial Scrutiny Unit, which will develop systems for the scrutiny of finances, for instance: the planning cycle which will include aspects on performance and expenditure targets for departments and spending reviews;
• the budget cycle which will include aspects of how government makes its assessment on the state of the economy and how it plans to raise revenue the following year;
• the estimates cycle which is the process by which departments’ resources and cash for the year is approved; and
• the reporting cycle which involves the reporting by departments through reports.

This will assist the committees responsible for Finance and the portfolio committees to enhance their oversight activities and tracking of issues that are addressed in monthly reports to Parliament and those that would have been raised by the Committee on Public Accounts.

• Tracking and Monitoring Unit, which will address decisions in the House(s) and at committee level, as well as issues emanating from the floor of the House(s) and from committee reports that get tabled in the House(s).
• Advisory Unit, which will identify issues from sectoral parliaments, other assemblies, international bodies and compliance with international protocols, treaties and conventions as well as petitions.

It is therefore recommended that Parliament must speedily establish this section with full resources, capacity and personnel for the efficient fulfilment of the objectives of oversight and accountability.

4.1.5 Reserve Bank

The South African Reserve Bank Act (No 90 of 1989) regulates the South African Reserve Bank. Section 37 of the Act requires the Minister of Finance in the case of non-compliance by the Bank with the Act...
or any regulation under the Act to give notice to the board of directors of the Bank of non-compliance and the requirement of compliance within a specified time. In the event that the non-compliance is sustained, the Minister can apply to a division of the High Court to compel compliance. Whilst section 224 (2) of the Constitution stipulates that the Reserve Bank is independent, as an organ of state, it is accountable to Parliament in terms of sections 55 and 69. The exercise of its powers and functions and conditions attached to it must be determined by an Act of Parliament in accordance with section 225. In this regard, it is recommended that the Reserve Bank Act of 1989 should be reviewed and revised for purposes of aligning the act with the Constitution in order that Parliament may exercise oversight over it.

4.1.6 Issues from constituency work

In the course of their constituency debates, issues of concern to the public are brought to the attention of members of Parliament. These issues, once introduced in Parliament, can be formally channelled through the parliamentary processes for executive responses.

4.1.7 Appointments to institutions supporting constitutional democracy and other specialised institutions which fall under the mandate of Parliament

The procedural aspects of appointments to Chapter 9 institutions and specialised institutions are assigned to committees. After considering the matter, the committees report their recommendations to Parliament for a decision. The weakness is the lack of co-ordination in handling these very important appointments, and therefore mechanisms in the rules are needed to assist Parliament to give effect to this important mandate.

It is recommended that an ad hoc committee be set up to receive and hear the presentation on the Audit of Statutes and make recommendations that it deems necessary to the presiding officers for consideration.

4.1.8 Public participation

The need to have public participation is required in section 42 of the Constitution. The task team considered the matter of how the public can engage through involvement and participation in parliamentary processes. Amidst the research conducted by the task team and the recent Constitutional Court cases on
public participation, the task team identified that the need for public participation and involvement cannot merely be addressed in a chapter of the model but ought to be included in a separate model on public participation, which will be interlinked with the Oversight and Accountability Model. Research, ideas and best practice will be provided to the necessary process that will be established for the development of the model.

4.1.9 Sanctioning non-compliance by the Executive

It is recommended that Parliament develop rules to assist it further in sanctioning Cabinet members for non-compliance after all established existing avenues and protocols have been exhausted, for example naming the Cabinet member by the Speaker of the National Assembly or the Chairperson of the Council based on a full explanation.

4.2 Maximising current mechanisms

4.2.1 Reporting by committees to the Houses

A mechanism for evaluating annual reports from government departments does exist. This is one of the procedures through which Ministers are held to account. Ministers, as the executive authority in terms of section 65 of the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA) have to table annual reports of departments and public entities for which they are responsible within six months after the end of the financial year (30 September). The Speaker immediately refers all annual reports to the relevant portfolio committee and the Committee on Public Accounts for consideration and report. Late submissions require a written explanation by the Minister providing reasons for the delay. The Committee on Public Accounts reviews the audited financial statements and the audit reports of the Auditor-General and indicates to the relevant portfolio committee which specific issues they should be aware of with regard to oversight. The committee has to evaluate thoroughly the technical quality and the performance information presented in the annual report.

Members should have a clear understanding of the portfolio over which they are conducting oversight and what it is they want to achieve (improve service delivery). There are different phases which lead up to the final reporting, namely:
• **Oversight preparation phase:**
  This starts six to eight weeks prior to 30 September each year. Members need to have access to and interrogate documents which include current and previous annual reports for comparison purposes, strategic plans and Estimates of National Expenditure of related years, State-of-the-Nation Address, Budget Speech, budget vote speeches, division of revenue information and related policy documents, quarterly performance reports, previous oversight reports and House resolutions.

• **Oversight hearing phase:**
  Ideally during the last two weeks of October each year, public hearings are conducted to gain clarity/input into the areas that should be addressed in the annual report.

• **Oversight report-writing phase:**
  A report for each of the entities reviewed must be tabled in the House (by the second week in November). The report should contain comments with regard to compliance, spending patterns, a general information section in the annual report, reported performance, key issues of the previous year and recommendations.

It is recommended that a system for tracking resolutions until the matter has been dealt with or an adequate response has been received must be instituted.

A need to strengthen Parliament’s mechanisms for evaluating annual reports was identified as it is a critical mechanism through which Ministers are held to account and is dealt with in 3.1.1 above. However there is a need to improve on mechanisms that would ensure that there is reporting on responses by the Executive on resolutions adopted by Parliament.

It is recommended that the current system be improved in the following manner:

• Where a response is required, the House resolution with its date of adoption must be appended to the Order Paper until such response has been received. The Minister’s formal response must be addressed to the Speaker or Chairperson of the Council.
• If no response is received within a reasonable time or within the period specified by the House resolution, the House Chairperson should notify the Speaker or Chairperson, who should then write to the Minister requesting compliance within 14 days or a written explanation of the delay.
• In the event of sustained non-compliance by the Minister, a written complaint by the Speaker or Chairperson may be sent to the Leader of Government Business, and in exceptional circumstances the Minister may be called to account in the House (e.g. during question time).

• Quarterly reports and an annual report on resolutions (by category) and compliance with outcomes should be made available to the Houses. These reports could be used to feed information into the tracking and monitoring mechanism.

This process does not preclude the committee from monitoring executive compliance as part of its continuous oversight function.

### 4.2.2 Debates initiated by Presiding Officers

NCOP Rule 84 and NA Rule 103 respectively enable members to request the Chairperson of the Council and the Speaker in writing to allow a matter of public importance to be discussed in the individual Houses. It is recommended that the rules must be expanded to enable the Speaker and the Chairperson of the NCOP to initiate debates in the Houses.

### 4.2.3 Developing specialisation for certain parliamentary committees

The committee system in South Africa has drawn much guidance from the Westminster system, as has other jurisdictions. However, many jurisdictions have tapered the Westminster approach to accommodate variations in the structure of committees based on their specific needs and the need for specialisation.

The need for fundamental change in the current manner in which committees exercise oversight is necessitated by the fact that South Africa has pioneered mandatory oversight by Parliament through the Constitution.

The Inter-Parliamentary Union is of the view that the existence of specialised committees adds value to parliamentary work because these committees can work simultaneously to address problems from different angles.

Parliament should consider strongly resourcing, capacitating and developing the specialisation of committees that are dealing with broad issues that cut across departments and ministries in all spheres as this has an impact on society and the nurturing of our democratic objectives. This
consideration is motivated by the type, quantity and duration of work, complexity of issues and the need for the development of specialisation as is the case in other parliaments in the world.

The programming of Parliament should prioritise reports for tabling which arise from the work done and conducted by these committees on all areas of their focus for consideration and decision-making within the ambit of enhancing oversight. These committees ought to be given the right to consider and initiate debate on some of the issues they find to be of national consideration, as identified in the process of their oversight work. Furthermore, these committees must provide annual reports which must be published in the Announcements, Tablings and Committee Reports document as well as tabled in Parliament to allow other committees to identify issues that will help them in enhancing oversight and effecting accountability.

It is highly recommended that Parliament prioritise the development of criteria to identify on an ongoing basis which committees qualify for strengthened resourcing, capacity and development based on the broadness of their mandates.

4.2.4 Appointment of ad hoc committees

The current system in Parliament of appointing ad hoc committees to investigate a matter of public interest is effective. However, we need to ensure that issues of public interest, as they arise and are made known to Parliament, are investigated through the appointment of ad hoc committees. This will enhance Parliament’s role on oversight and ensure compliance with the Constitution where we are becoming responsive to the needs of the people as outlined in the vision and mission statement of Parliament. In addition, Parliament ought, when it deems it necessary, to be proactive in appointing ad hoc committees to address issues of public interest.

4.2.5 Accountability and oversight in relation to the Executive

NA Rule 117 and NCOP Rule 249 provide for the Executive to reply to a question for written reply within 10 working days of the day for which the question was set down for written reply. Should the Executive fail to do so, the question may, upon request of the member of Parliament in whose name the question stands, be put to the Cabinet member in the House for oral reply on the relevant question day. NA Rule 115(3) provides that a question for oral reply may not stand over more than once. This is generally assumed to be a period of 14 days. It is still a moot point as to what happens to a question standing over more than once as there are such instances, and no sanctions in this regard exist.
There is a constitutional obligation on the Executive to account to Parliament (section 92(2) and (3) of the Constitution). In March 2003, the NA Rules Committee decided that the Speaker should write to Cabinet members and the Leader of Government Business with regard to members of the Cabinet not complying with their constitutional obligations as there is no compulsion on a member of the Cabinet to respond to a question other than the option of members’ statements, motions or a request for a debate on errant members of the Cabinet.

It is recommended that NA Rule 117 and NCOP Rule 249, which provide a timeframe for the Executive to respond to a question for oral reply, be amended to extend the timeframe for a response to 21 days.

Notwithstanding the right of Parliament to pass a motion of no confidence in the President or in the Cabinet excluding the President (Section 102 of Constitution) and the existing mechanisms for holding the Executive to account, it is recommended that Parliament utilise the Joint Parliamentary Oversight and Government Assurance Committee to implement effective measures to ensure compliance by the Executive in the event that all existing avenues of eliciting a response from the Executive have been exhausted.

### 4.2.6 Individual member oversight

Amidst the multifaceted and multidimensional work of members of Parliament lies the role of members to effect individual oversight. This role is currently performed through questions, members’ statements, motions without notice, notices of motion, motions on the Order Paper, debates in the Houses, member-initiated debates, constituency work, interventions made by members, private members’ legislative proposals and the processing of bills.

Political parties have constituency offices from which the public can obtain information on new Bills or discuss issues of concern with members of Parliament. Each party represented in Parliament is allocated funds to develop its own method of constituent outreach. Each member of Parliament is assigned by their political party to perform constituency work. Notwithstanding this, members are not precluded from performing work in other constituencies. Constituency work affords members the greatest opportunity to conduct individual oversight. It constitutes the closest level of interaction between members and the public, and provides the best platform from which members can familiarise themselves with the issues confronting their constituents. Through this interaction, a member may address matters of local,
provincial and national concern. However, it is important that, in exercising their oversight role, members take care not to encroach on the jurisdiction of provincial and local political representatives but rather adhere to the principles of co-operative government.

Interventions made by individual members is one of the more effective forms of individual oversight as it empowers members to interact directly with departments and other organs of state at national, provincial and local government level. Members have a duty to alert Parliament to any issue of concern identified during such oversight interventions.

In practice, departments establish structures to process concerns raised by members of Parliament, such as departmental parliamentary liaison officers. These liaison officers are accountable to the Director: Ministerial Services within each department. Their key function is to facilitate communication between the ministry, the department and members of Parliament. Concerns raised by members are referred to senior officials within departments, with the veiled threat that should the department not respond in a satisfactory manner, the matter will be brought to the House formally at the risk of great embarrassment to the department, and ultimately the Minister. If the member’s informal communication with a department does not yield satisfactory results, he or she has discretion to communicate formally with the relevant Minister to provide him or her with the opportunity to rectify the matter before placing it formally before the House. The observance of this protocol allows for the services not to be disrupted. It is recommended that in the interests of ensuring greater communication on issues arising from members’ individual oversight work ministries develop guidelines for members’ interaction and engagement with Ministers on issues of public concern.

4.3 General recommendations

• Parliament should strengthen and develop its current rules to accommodate new and old mechanisms as proposed in this model in order to enhance its oversight role.
• In the event that the new mechanisms above are agreed to, the rules need to be realigned to accommodate the new mechanisms.
• It is recommended that the Joint Rules be amended to refer to “Persons with Disabilities” instead of “Disabled Persons”.
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CHAPTER 5: **PROCEDURE FOR AMENDING MONEY BILLS**

### 5.1 Terms of reference of Budget Focus Group

The Budget Focus Group was mandated to develop procedure for amending money bills and to draft legislation to amend money bills. These mandates have been completed.

The classification criteria guiding the above terms focused on the following:

- The role of Parliament in amending money bills. This is against the backdrop in which the introduction of money bills is the sole responsibility of the Minister of Finance. The Executive plays a major role in the budgetary process, but the point of concern is that in terms of section 77(3) of the Constitution, an Act of Parliament must provide for a procedure to amend money bills before Parliament. The mandate from the Joint Rules Committee to the Budget Processes Focus Group was to develop a draft bill providing for a procedure to amend money bills.

The findings of the focus group took cognisance of:

- presentations by National Treasury;
- reports of Ms A Folscher; and
- an analysis of the budget cycle.

### 5.2 Recommendations

The bill in draft format, as submitted, must be tabled in Parliament and referred to the appropriate committee and must follow the normal parliamentary process.
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CHAPTER 6: CO-ORDINATION AMONGST THE SPHERES OF GOVERNMENT ON OVERSIGHT

6.1 Co-operative government

The three spheres of government must conduct their oversight and legislative work with due regard to Chapter 3 of the Constitution, the Intergovernmental Relations Framework (IGRF) and other relevant legislation to achieve the objectives of co-operative government and the separation of powers.

The NA, NCOP, provincial legislatures and municipal councils each conduct their functions with reference to co-operative government as required in the Constitution and the Intergovernmental Relations Framework Act (No 13 of 2005). There are however frequent misunderstandings in relation to the parameters of oversight conducted by the NA, NCOP, provincial legislatures and municipal councils due to the unco-ordinated way in which all these bodies carry out their functions. This chapter provides clarity on the role of each of these functional bodies found in the Constitution and legislation. Much debate is still required on mechanisms/protocols to facilitate greater co-operation and co-ordination between the three spheres of government.

The Constitution requires co-operative government between the three spheres of government. In this regard, the parliamentary oversight process, as it relates to interactions with the people and the government, must seek to adhere to the values of co-operative government. National, provincial and local spheres of government must seek to promote the objectives of the Intergovernmental Relations Framework Act, which include coherent government, the effective provision of services, monitoring the implementation of policy and legislation and the realisation of national priorities. They must therefore take into account the circumstances, material interests and budgets of other governments and organs of state in other governments when exercising their statutory powers or performing their statutory functions. In addition, there must be consultations with other organs of state in accordance with formal procedures emanating from applicable legislation and accepted conventions as agreed with organs of state or alternatively consulting in the most suitable manner. Actions must also be co-ordinated when implementing policy or legislation affecting the material interests of other spheres of government.
6.2 Values and principles guiding institutional oversight

This model’s primary objective is to provide a framework that describes how Parliament conducts oversight. It seeks to improve existing tools of parliamentary oversight, streamline components of the new oversight model with existing components and enhance Parliament’s capacity to fulfil its oversight function in line with Parliament’s new strategic direction.

An oversight model must therefore consist of three elements as indicated in Figure 3 below:

- The values and principles by which Parliament conducts oversight;
- The mechanism or framework to conduct oversight; and
- The processes and resources required for conducting oversight.

Constitutionally, the NA and the NCOP have the prerogative to design their own internal arrangements, proceedings and procedures in terms of sections 57 and 70 of the Constitution. To this end, the Constitution explicitly states that in making rules and orders concerning its business both Houses are compelled to take into account representative and participatory democracy, accountability, transparency and public involvement. In addition to these values, section 195(1) also stipulates basic values and principles governing public administration in every sphere of government. Added to the abovementioned values are a high standard of professional ethics, the efficient, economic and effective use of resources, development-orientatedness, impartiality, fairness, equity, and responsiveness. These values are captured and summarised in Parliament’s strategy that was adopted by both Houses in March 2005, as follows:

- Constitutionality: Democratic values (of human dignity, equality & freedom), social justice and fundamental human rights;
- People-centredness: Respect, integrity and service delivery;
- Co-operative government: Co-operating with other spheres of government; and
- Good governance: Accountability and transparency, value for money, customer focus and service quality.

6.3 Introducing the parliamentary oversight cycle as a guide for oversight processes

Parliamentary processes to ensure that institutional mechanisms are effectively undertaken are prescribed within the parliamentary oversight cycle. The parliamentary oversight cycle takes into cognisance
the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework cycle of the Executive, the Medium-Term Budget Policy Statement, the Division of Revenue Act, the annual Appropriation Bill and legislation raising revenue. Annual reports, reports from the Auditor-General, resolutions of the Committee on Public Accounts, committee reports, quarterly and monthly reports of National Treasury are considered amidst the cycle and performance by the Executive is measured by a comparison between these and the Medium-term Budget Policy Statement, the Division of Revenue Act, the Appropriation Bill, and legislation raising revenue. Ministers are accountable for the policy that underlies their budgets whereas accounting officers account for expending the budget.

**Figure 2** below illustrates the oversight cycle on an annual basis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituency Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Tours &amp; Site Visits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISD Submissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Society submissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strat Plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental Briefings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press Speech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministerial speeches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• media briefing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• budget speeches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministerial statements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation /Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of Impact of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Parliament</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Parliament</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People’s Assembly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The oversight cycle requires Parliament to take a long-term view of oversight in order to ensure effective oversight of sustainable delivery. The parliamentary oversight cycle provides a means through which Parliament can monitor government delivery in terms of long-term commitments, rather than focusing exclusively on annual commitments, annual planning and performance assessments. The cycle thus provides for continuity in Parliament’s oversight activities from year to year.
Figure 3
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The best practices in the world are such that members of parliament are adequately supported by institutions to enable them to perform their mandates. In some instances, it includes a dedicated secretary and researcher in addition to that which is offered to the committees to which they belong. Committees in some parliaments also have dedicated rooms and they can meet as often and as long as possible, which translates into better performance in the execution of their mandates. Therefore it is being proposed that Parliament should in its lifetime progressively consider strongly achieving on this world standard depending on the availability of space and the expansion programme of Parliament’s infrastructure.

7.1 Training

Training should take place based on the Constitution, rules and relevant practices in Parliament as well as the public representative role of members to conduct oversight and pass legislation. Training should be split in terms of the oversight mandates and making laws. The personal development of members should be dealt with internally in accordance with the individual member’s interests.

Other areas of training include formal academic programmes, as well as informal training programmes such as seminars and conferences. Members’ training should incorporate the following core competencies:

- Affirming the understanding of the Constitution
- Affirming the understanding of all statutes and laws
- Procedural training
- Speed reading
- Computer literacy
- Use and application of the Best Practice Guide
- Use and application of the Oversight and Accountability Model
- Budget analysis
- Speech writing
• Public speaking and debating skills
• Policy analysis and engagement
• General knowledge of current news and historical issues (domestic and global)
• Methods of work
• Standard operating procedures
• The separation of powers doctrine
• Legislative processes
• Rules of Parliament
• Protocol skills and ethics
• Commitment to work ethic and obligations
• Skills on developing petitions to assist the public
• Conforming to ethical standards expected from citizens.

7.2. **Additional support**

Members ought to have additional support that they can access or utilise in the course of their work in the form of:

• Content/subject advisers for each committee;
• Dedicated researchers for each committee;
• A panel of experts;
• Tertiary institutions;
• Research institutions;
• Civil society;
• Increased capacity for public hearings;
• Increased utilisation of facilities and resources, for instance, libraries, the internet and referencing facilities.

Support staff to committees should be trained and capacitated on the following:

• The Constitution and the law-making process;
• Areas of specialisation;
• Reporting and minute-taking skills;
• General proficiency of language use;
• Communication skills;
• Good behavioural skills;
• General discipline;
• Parliamentary protocol, skills and basic ethical standards.
CHAPTER 8: BEST PRACTICE GUIDE

8.1 Best Practice Guide (BPG)

The purpose of the BPG is to capture in a single document the best practices that have emanated from the work conducted by committees since the advent of democracy to allow for user-friendly access to and guidelines for committees.

The BPG will include aspects on scrutinising government’s financial management and parliamentary scrutiny of the same in the planning cycle, budget cycle, estimates cycle, reporting cycle, efficiency programme, the private finance initiative and initiatives with an impact on financial management and financial scrutiny, including capability reviews, financial management reviews, regulatory impact assessments and all government’s accounts.

The BPG is being reviewed and will be renamed the Manual for Parliamentary Committees.
Chapter 9

PROJECTS FOCUS GROUP

[CONSTITUTIONAL LANDSCAPE GOVERNING OVERSIGHT]
CHAPTER 9: PROJECTS FOCUS GROUP
[CONSTITUTIONAL LANDSCAPE GOVERNING OVERSIGHT]

9.1 Introduction

The Projects Focus Group had several mandates elaborated on in Chapter 1 above. The mandates and outcomes of the specific projects are discussed below.

The group, without explicitly stating its classification criteria, placed its primary focus, as noted aptly by Tiscomia, on:

• The object and aims of the system: In law, models are built around complex activities (precedents searching, contraposition of arguments, decision-making, legislative planning, etc), or models are built around the products of these activities, such as legal documents (statutes, judicial decisions, administrative, etc); and

• The method, that is textual modes based on linguistic aspects, the deductive models of legal knowledge and reasoning.

The source documents supporting an understanding of accountability and oversight utilised by this group comprised the following:

• Constitution of the Republic of South Africa;
• Primary and secondary documentation to define oversight and accountability; and

9.2 Audit of bodies performing public functions

The objectives of this audit were to:

• determine the “scope” of the oversight and accountability role of the National Assembly (in particular), and to present Parliament with an electronic database that captures relevant information; and
• capture information on relevant fields including full identification (i.e. contact details of bodies), bodies exercising powers and performing functions in terms of section 239 of the Constitution, bodies receiving state funding, the legal relationship of bodies to Parliament and the government, as well as line function departments responsible for bodies.

This project has been completed and the final report is attached hereto.

9.3 Constitutional landscaping

Parliament, through the Joint Rules Committee, was required to compile a document landscaping the constitutional provisions dealing with the interrelated themes of oversight, accountability, transparency and responsiveness, and outline international trends.

The Corder Report and the ad hoc Joint Subcommittee on Oversight and Accountability’s final report map out and landscape, to a great extent, some of the constitutional provisions relating to the interrelated themes of oversight, accountability, transparency and responsiveness. The NA Table published an Audit of Statutes, 2004: Guide to Parliament’s obligations under the Constitution and legislation, which maps out statutes mandating oversight. The landscaping document therefore provides a perspective on these provisions, without repeating the mapping exercise. This perspective can be discussed and refined for use pursuant to Parliament’s constitutional functions relating to the interrelated themes of oversight, accountability, transparency and responsiveness.

This project has been completed and the final report is attached hereto.

9.4 Review of the rules

The model is proposing definite proposals on the rules that need to be established and amended to effect change in order for the model to find its expression within the rules of the NA, the NCOP and the Joint Rules.

It is recommended that a review of rules be conducted subsequent to the adoption of the model. It is proposed that the Joint Subcommittee on Review of the Joint Rules must attend to the review of the rules once the model is adopted.
CHAPTER 10: CONCLUSION

The true test of democracy is considered the extent to which Parliament can ensure that government remains accountable to the people by maintaining oversight of government’s actions. Whether Parliament is indeed successful in effectively holding the Executive accountable will ultimately depend on the extent to which committees and individual members of Parliament actively exercise their oversight role.

Whilst an appropriate legal framework and adequate resources constitute critical elements for effective parliamentary oversight and accountability, it is equally important that individual members, as well as members of the Executive, understand the rationale for accountable government and the purpose it serves. Effective oversight requires the political will on the part of the individual members of Parliament to utilise the oversight mechanisms and the array of tools at their disposal optimally.

Conceptual models are by definition simplified, ideal-type frameworks. This document and the accompanying proposed model strive to present a framework within which Parliament’s oversight role can be structured, so as to enhance Parliament’s oversight capacity, as well as bring current practices in line with Parliament’s strategic path. This model will be a process that can enrich itself by adapting to a situation that permeates from one parliament to another.

Two critical factors for ensuring the success of this model is, firstly, the need to integrate Parliament’s public participation function within its overall oversight mechanism and, secondly, to provide the appropriate capacity, especially human resources, to committees and members for its execution. It is vital that all public participation processes become inputs to the work of appropriate committees.

Detailed oversight practices aligned with this framework are captured in the Best Practice Guide on oversight currently being finalised. However, given the complex nature of Parliament’s activities and the dynamic environment in which it operates, we wish to echo the sentiments of the ad hoc Joint Subcommittee on Oversight and Accountability that Parliament should adopt a policy requiring each new parliament to assess and review its oversight capabilities, including its oversight model, at least once during its five-year lifespan.
In order to implement the proposed model decisions are required on the following aspects:

- The immediate need to increase the research (and content specialist) capacity of committees, which is currently underway.
- The implementation of systems to capture and manage information within committees.
- The development of a public participation model to ensure that inputs received through public participation activities are channelled to appropriate committees.
- Changes in parliamentary policy/rules to accommodate the creation of an Oversight Advisory Section with recommended terms of reference.
- Continuous capacity development of members of Parliament and support staff to committees in terms of information and communications technology, budgeting practices and other skills required to enhance their oversight capacity.
- Offices of members of Parliament should also be afforded additional human resources and upgraded in terms of technology capacity.
- Training of staff in line with the Best Practice Guide.
- The adoption of a procedure for executive compliance.
- Dedicated committee rooms need to be considered when Parliament expands its infrastructure.
- Parliament can at a later stage consider the development of further legislation relating to oversight, which will include other committees that are currently regulated by the rules in relation to oversight as is the case with the Joint Standing Committee on Intelligence and the Joint Standing Committee on Defence.
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