

Parliamentary

comments A



CCC

Budget Office

egislature Minister

Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Fiscal policy framework and existential risks
- National revenue, expenditure and budget balance
- Preliminary outcome for 2020/21 of a selection of votes
- Expenditure as at end June 2021 and additional funding proposed for relevant National departments
- Detail per vote
- Further risks for medium-term budget consideration
- Conclusion

Introduction

- The Second Special Appropriations Bill aims to address the impact of the recent unrest and the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic
- It provides for additional urgent funding allocations in the 2021/22 financial year, to the National Treasury, Social Development, Defence, Police, and Trade, Industry and Competition
- It also includes allocations already authorised in terms of section 16 of the PFMA:
 - For Social Relief of Distress: R10.013 billion
 - For Trade, Industry and Competition: R1.3 billion
- The Parliamentary Budget Office prepared this presentation focusing on providing background on the government fiscal policy framework and its risks additional information on the Second Special Appropriations Bill to assist Members with the approval, amendment or rejection of the Second Special Appropriations Bill
- It includes a summary of:
 - National revenue, expenditure and budget balances to illustrate the effect on the budget balance
 - Preliminary outcomes of expenditure in 2020/21, which should be considered in budget adjustments, whether urgent or during the normal budget cycle
 - Expenditure as at the end June 2021 and additional funding proposed for relevant National departments, to assist Members to determine the urgency of the approval of some of the proposed additional appropriations in 2021/22
 - Per vote budget structures, to illustrate the technical changes to the budget

Background

- The first special appropriation bill (2020) was due to unavoidable Covid-19 pandemic and related health, social and economic effects
- In the second special appropriation bill 2021, the questions that the MPs should consider are
 - Whether, this special appropriation bill may have been avoidable if government had reassessed fiscal consolidation stance; and
 - Whether reassessment would have allowed government to take into account warnings about the fragile social fabric and the impact of the 3rd wave of Covid-19 infections
- Reassessment rather than extension of fiscal consolidation would have allowed government to provide continued relief to poor households who were suffering before the pandemic and faced even greater hardship during 2020 and into 2021
- The question is whether, the devastating effects of inadequate social security on social infrastructure, private property, businesses, the economy and political stability caused by the events of July 2021 may have been avoided if social relief had been extended had fiscal consolidation not caused government to self-limit expenditure on social security and economic transformation
- Lessons from other governments' responses who said that they would "do all that it takes" to deal with the socio-economic impact of Covid-19 are still to be fully taken into account in South Africa

Background

- Several years of fiscal consolidation have had a cumulative negative impact on employment, investment and economic growth
- This policy choice imposes self-limitations on government's ability to develop longer-term, sustainable and just solutions to the key socioeconomic problems in the country and achieving the socioeconomic rights within the constitution
- It also self-limits government's choice of approach to addressing the growth limiting structural problems in the economy
- It has caused government to break social compacts that seemed to have supported social stability
- It has caused government to break labour agreements (when it should have implemented a longer-term, negotiated plan) and thereby broken trust within public sector labour relations
- Overall, the policy choice of fiscal consolidation seems to be increasing social and political instability

Fiscal Policy Framework and Existential Risks

- The PBO has cautioned repeatedly about the:
 - risks faced by South African society that have to be taken into account within the fiscal policy framework, budget allocations and government's long term budget planning
 - fragility of the social fabric due to the worsening quality of life and suffering related to extremely high structural unemployment and extraordinary levels of inequality
 - Women, black women in particular and youth are some of those vulnerable and are worse affected in the society and the economy
- The ongoing social and service delivery protests across the country leads to real destruction of community infrastructure assets
- Even if the July 2021 events were initially orchestrated the outcomes show us the political and economic damage of extreme poverty and the negative costs of extraordinary levels inequality
- To regain economic stability and rebuild the economy government has to also provide households with more stability and assurance in the form of a more comprehensive social security system
- The cost of instability at a household level is not only related to macroeconomic stability but also political stability and democracy

Fiscal Policy Framework and Existential risks

- Fiscal consolidation forces government to focus only on solutions to deep structural problems in the economy that are micro-economic, supply-side problems that require limited government expenditure
- This approach is based on a false dichotomy of the role of State and market in the economy; that the State should limit itself to creating the conditions for the private sector to take the lead in the economy particularly with regard to job creation
- The approach disregards the multiple roles the State actually plays within the economy with regard to, providing services, employing a large share of the workforce, owning assets and enterprises, developing policies, regulating behavior of economic agents inside and outside of markets, international trade diplomacy and ultimately partnerships with private sector economic actors
- The State also plays roles in the economy that the private sector does not play to address:
 - Market imperfections and externalities
 - Important gaps in key areas in infrastructure, social and welfare provisioning that private firms in the market cannot or will not provide
 - Inequality through measures to address inequality that support social and political stability
 - Relief and recovery in the face of pandemics, natural disasters and economic and financial crises,
 - Longer-term economic planning, particularly in a global economy where corporations are footloose and institutional investors and activist shareholders pressure them to focus on short-term returns rather than long-term nurturing of investment

National revenue, expenditure and budget balance

National revenue, expenditure and budget balance

- Preliminary outcomes for 2020/21 shows that:
 - Revenue collected is 2.94 per cent more than the revised estimates
 - Expenditure is 0.9 per cent less than the revised estimates
 - The main budget balance is 8.5 per cent less than the revised estimate
- First quarter outcomes for 2021/22 shows that:
 - Revenue collected is at 28.5 per cent of budget
 - Expenditure is at 22.3 per cent of budget
- 2021/22 Main budget balance
 - Original main budget balance (R482 580 025)
 - Main budget balance including the second special appropriations (R519 505 828), all other numbers kept the same

	2020/21	2021/22			
	Preliminary	Budget	Year to date	Percentage	
R thousand	difference	estimate	Q1	spent	
Revenue	2.94%	1 351 672 125	385 540 354	28.5%	
Expenditure	-0.90%	1 834 252 150	408 199 361	22.3%	
Appropriation by vote	-1.38%	980 583 908	238 210 594	24.3%	
Direct charges against the NRF	-0.27%	830 023 039	169 988 767	20.5%	
Debt-service costs		269 741 139	32 516 846	12.1%	
Provincial equitable share		523 686 351	130 921 587	25.0%	
General fuel levy sharing with metropolitan municipalities		14 617 279	-	0.0%	
Payments in terms of Special Appropriation Act no. 11 of 2021		4 075 803			
South African Airways			45 000	1.1%	
Payments in terms of Second Special Appropriation Act no. 17 of 2021		32 850 000			
Skill Levy and SETAs		17 812 863	4 492 046	25.2%	
Other costs		4 165 407	2 013 288	48.3%	
Provisional reduction to fund Land Bank allocation		(5 000 000)	-		
Provisional allocation not assisgned to votes		12 645 203	-		
Infrastructure Fund not assigned to votes		4 000 000	-		
Contingency reserve	_	12 000 000	-		
Main budget balance	-8.5%	(482 580 025)	·)	
		(519 505 828)			

9

Preliminary outcome for 2020/21 of a selection of votes (below 97%)

		Preliminary
Pe	rcentage	outcome
		2020/21
1	The Presidency	93.20%
5	Home Affairs	96.34%
6	International Relations and Cooperation	96.53%
8	National Treasury	99.04%
9	Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation	96.79%
11	Public Service and Administration	91.87%
12	Public Service Commission	95.29%
15	Traditional Affairs	96.75%
19	Social Development	100.24%
21	Civilian secretariat for the Police Services	96.87%
23	Defence	98.94%
28	Police	95.90%
29	Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development	92.72%
31	Employment and Labour	95.65%
32	Environment, Forestry and Fisheries	83.79%
34	Mineral Resources and Energy	94.94%
39	Trade, Industry and Competition	97.48%
41	Water and Sanitation	89.11%

- Preliminary outcomes for 2020/21 shows that:
 - Total vote expenditure amounts to 98.6 per cent
 - 14 Departments spent less than 97 per cent
 - 5 Departments have spent more than 100 per cent
 - The 5 Departments affected by the second special appropriations are shown in green, of which 3 did not spent the entire budget
 - These unspent funds could be surrendered to the NRF or rolled over for expenditure in 2021/22 during the adjusted budget period

Expenditure as at end June 2021 and additional funding proposed for relevant National departments

- A provision of R3.9 billion additional funding has been included in the Bill to provide for a required capital injection should SASRIA exceed its limits. It is unclear whether this funding is urgent in terms of the purpose of this Bill
- Defence has spent only 22.2 per cent of current payments in the first quarter
- Police has spent only 23.7 per cent of current payments including COE in the first quarter and are in the process of restructuring
- The availability of more finance for actual expenditure might assist government with making better informed decisions about the requirements for Defence and Police
- The amounts for Social Relief of Distress and Trade, Industry and Competition have already been appropriated in terms of section 16 of the PFMA

R thousand	Total	Current payments	Transfers and subsidies	Payments for capital	Payments for financial	Total
8 National Treasury	41 055 707	16.4%	23.4%	19.1%	4.2%	17.9%
Payment for financial assets	3 900 000					
19 Social Development	205 226 920	21.8%	26.6%	26.2%		26.6%
Transfers and subsidies	26 700 000					
23 Defence	46 268 680	22.2%	26.3%	15.9%		22.3%
Current Payments	700 000					
28 Police	96 355 531	23.7%	37.0%	3.2%		23.1%
Current payments (COE)	250 000					
39 Trade, Industry and Competition	9 736 573	17.9%	32.9%	0.9%		29.9%
Transfers and subsidies	1 300 000					
Total appropriation by vote	980 583 908	22.6%	23.9%	8.2%	43.8%	24.3%

Detail per Vote

Vote 8: National Treasury Vote 19: Social Development Vote 23: Defence Vote 28: Police Vote 39: Trade , Industry and Competition

Vote 8: National Treasury expenditure estimates by programme and economic classification

Programme	Revised estimate	M edium-ter	m expenditure es	stimate	Average growth rate (%)	Average: Expenditure/ Total (%)	
R million	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2022/23 2023/24		· 2023/24	
1. Administration	539.1	530.7	535.8	537.7	-0.1%	0.1%	
2. Economic Policy, Tax, Financial Regulation and Research	142.6	153.8	157.1	157.5	3.4%	0.0%	
3. Public Finance and Budget Management	2 794.0	3 929.9	3 844.4	3 801.3	10.8%	0.4%	
4. Asset and Liability Management	3 030.8	5 117.9	1 118.3	1 118.8	-28.3%	0.3%	
Second Special Appropriation (SASRIA)		3 900.0					
5. Financial Accounting and Supply Chain Management Systems	808.7	1082.9	1122.2	1075.8	10.0%	0.1%	
6. International Financial Relations	6 526.3	7 286.2	2 306.2	2 347.9	-28.9%	0.5%	
7. Civil and Military Pensions, Contributions to Funds and Other Benefits	5 469.3	6 409.5	7 012.1	7 039.0	8.8%	0.8%	
8. Revenue Administration	10 27 1.9	11295.2	10 527.8	10 657.6	1.2%	1.2%	
9. Financial Intelligence and State Security	4 942.9	5 249.5	5 381.0	5 411.6	3.1%	0.6%	
Subtotal	34 525.7	41 055.7	32 004.8	32 147.3	-2.4%	4.1%	
Direct charge against the National Revenue	768 270.3	808 174.4	847 508.1	879 451.5	4.6%	95.9%	
Fund Total	802 795.9	849 230.1	879 512.9	911 598.8	4.3%	100.0%	
Economic classification						8	
Current payments	234 957.8	272 726.0	311 075.0	341662.3	13.3%	33.7%	
Transfers and subsidies	558 601.7	565 658.5	566 759.7	568 228.5	0.6%	65.6%	
Payments for capital assets	68.6	36.5	31.4	32.7	-21.8%	0.0%	
Payments for financial assets	9 167.8	10 809.0	1646.7	1675.2	-43.3%	0.7%	
Second Special Appropriation		3 900.0					
Total	802 795.9	849 230.1	879 512.9	911 598.8	4.3%	100.0%	

South African Special Risk Insurance Association (SASRIA): Facts from the 2020 Integrated Report

- SASRIA: Is a public enterprise listed under schedule 3B of the Public Finance Management Act No 1 of 1999
- Purpose: Sasria is the only short-term insurer in South Africa that provides cover against special risks such as civil commotion, public disorder, strikes, riots and terrorism
- Mandate: To provide cover for special risk events in terms of the Sasria Act and to research and investigate coverage for any special risk that can be considered to be of national interest
- Product range: Offers R500 million primary cover at set rates in business insurance: material damage (including motor and money), business interruption, construction and goods-in-transit. On request, they provide corporate customers with additional cover of up to R1 billion
- The special risk insurance cover is only applicable within South Africa's borders on both land and water

SASRIA: Integrated Report, 2020

• A few key performance indicators:

2020	Target	Actual
Gross written premium	R2 352 million	R2 417 million
Premium income from alternative distribution channel	R2 million	nil
Gross incurred loss ratio (%)	≤46% (tree-year rolling)	41.9%
Irregular, fruitless or wasteful expenditure	0% of expenditure	Fruitless and wasteful: R1.472 million Irregular: R543 041
Ethical behaviour	All allegations of unethical behaviour investigated and action taken	All allegations of unethical behaviour investigated and action taken
Audit opinion	Unqualified, without matters of emphasis	Unqualified, without matters of emphasis

Vote 19: Social Development expenditure estimates by programme and economic classification

Programme					Average	Average:
	Revised	Medium-term expenditure			growth rate	Expenditure/Total
R million	estimate 2020/21	2021/22	estimate 2022/23	2023/24	(%)	(%)
	426.6	413.2	418.8	420.1	-0.5%	0.2%
1. Administration	220 606.6	195 516.4	205 294.6	206 083.3	-2.2%	
2. Social Assistance	220 000.0		200 204.0	200 000.0	2.270	00.170
Second Special Appropriation		26 200.0				
3. Social Security Policy and Administration	7 585.8	7 576.0	7 613.0	7 684.4	0.4%	3.5%
Second Special Appropriation		500.0				
4. Welfare Services Policy Development and Implementatior	1842.3	1367.3	1508.0	1561.1	-5.4%	0.7%
5. Social Policy and Integrated Service Delivery	346.1	353.9	357.6	358.9	1.2%	0.2%
Subtotal	230 807.3	205 226.9	215 192.1	216 107.8	-2.2%	99.7%
Direct charge against the National Revenue Fund	2 825.8	-	-	-	-100.0%	0.3%
Section 16 of the PFMA payment: Social Relief of Distress grant	2 825.8	-	-	-	-100.0%	0.3%
Total	233 633.1	205 226.9	215 192.1	216 107.8	-2.6%	100.0%
Economic classification						
Current payments	999.5	916.9	928.0	931.8	-2.3%	0.4%
Compensation of employees	630.8	510.7	501.3	503.5	-7.2%	0.2%
Goods and services	368.7	406.2	426.7	428.3	5.1%	0.2%
Transfers and subsidies	232 621.6	204 297.5	214 250.8	215 162.1	-2.6%	99.6%
Households	223 453.5	195 5 18.1	205 296.3	206 085.1	-2.7%	95.4%
Second Special Appropriation		26 700.0				
Payments for capital assets	11.9	12.6	13.3	13.9	5.2%	0.0%
Total	233 633.1	205 226.9	215 192.1	216 107.8	-2.6%	100.0%

Social Grants: Preliminary performance

National Treasury indicated that:

- Approximately 13.2 million people will be eligible to receive the special SRD grant based on:
- 7 360 011 caregivers (However, it is unclear how sure how a caregiver is defined)
- 5 853 661 previous grant recipients

Revised estimate 256 813 220 607	Preliminary outcome	Percentage snent	MTEF 229 354
			229 354
220 607			
220 607	6		
8	199 190	90.3%	195 516
84 886	85 591	100.8%	73 318
83 107	81 026	97.5%	86 488
24 390	23 032	94.4%	23 579
5 046	4 783	94.8%	4 338
3 569	3 446	96.6%	3 658
1 632	1 312	80.4%	1 600
17 977	19 768	110.0%	2 536
			2 826
			26 700
256 813			229 354
4.5%			3.7%
/pe (thousands)			
	5 542		
	268		
13 009	12 993	99.9%	13 261
3 741	3 723	99.5%	3 860
1 001	998	99.7%	999
315	310	98.4%	284
151	151	99.7%	156
18 218	18 441	101.2%	18 559
	83 107 24 390 5 046 3 569 1 632 17 977 256 813 4.5% /pe (thousands) 13 009 3 741 1 001 315 151	83 107 81 026 24 390 23 032 5 046 4 783 3 569 3 446 1 632 1 312 17 977 19 768 4.5% 268 13 009 12 993 3 741 3 723 1 001 998 315 310 151 151	83 107 81 026 97.5% 24 390 23 032 94.4% 5 046 4 783 94.8% 3 569 3 446 96.6% 1 632 1 312 80.4% 17 977 19 768 110.0% 256 813

17

Challenges identified with the SRD grant

- The South African Social Security Agency (SASSA) told the Select Committee on Health and Social Services (1 September 2021) that:
 - The AG's findings on the R350 special relief grant indicated the lack of data integration across government
 - Grants being paid to individuals who are not distressed: 67 770 grants were paid to individuals who did not qualify. Although this number seems to be small (less than R25m) given the scale of relief being provided, it should be prevented
 - SASSA has referred cases of fraud and compliance for investigation:
 - About 241 criminal cases have been opened against government employees
 - 657 against company directors

Vote 23: Defence expenditure estimates by programme and economic classification

Programme					A verage growth	Average: Expenditu re/
	Revised	Medium	-term expen	diture	rate	Total
	estimate		estimate		(%)	(%)
R million	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2020/21-	2023/24
1. Administration	5 445.1	5 5 14.1	5 623.4	5 640.2	1.2%	11.4%
2. Force Employment	4 620.7	3 596.5	3 586.4	3 606.2	-7.9%	7.9%
Second Special Appropriation		700.0				
3. Landward Defence	16617.2	14 523.4	14 532.2	14 833.6	-3.7%	31.1%
4. Air Defence	7 536.2	5 969.2	5 914.7	5 902.5	-7.8%	13.0%
5. Maritime Defence	4 958.7	4 278.1	4 471.0	4 5 11.5	-3.1%	9.4%
6. Military Health Support	6 077.4	5 306.1	5 241.1	5 246.8	-4.8%	11.3%
7. Defence Intelligence	1 147.9	758.0	1 116.0	1140.7	-0.2%	2.1%
8. General Support	7 798.2	6 323.1	6 292.1	6 278.3	-7.0%	13.7%
Total	54 201.3	46 268.7	46 777.0	47 159.8	-4.5%	100.0%
Economic classification						
Current payments	46 146.8	42 965.2	42 588.1	42 912.7	-2.4%	89.8%
Compensation of employees	30 984.9	29 346.6	29 366.5	29 649.0	-1.5%	61.4%
Second Special Appropriation		354.4				
Goods and services	15 162.0	13 6 18.6	13 221.6	13 263.7	-4.4%	28.4%
Second Special Appropriation		324.3				
Transfers and subsidies	6 835.9	2 697.7	3 535.6	3 595.2	-19.3%	8.6%
Payments for capital assets	1 2 18.6	605.7	653.3	651.9	-18.8%	1.6%
Total	54 201.3	46 268.7	46 777.0	47 159.8	-4.5%	100.0%

19

Vote 28: Police expenditure estimates by programme and economic classification

Programme	Revised estimate	Medium-terr	n expenditure	astimata	A verage growth rate (%)	Expenditure/T otal (%)
R million	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24		- 2023/24
1. Administration	19 007.1	19 936.1	19 948.3	19 987.6	1.7%	20.2%
2. Visible Policing	53 401.5	49 534.4	49 887.5	49 999.4	-2.2%	52.0%
Second Special Appropriation	า	250.0				
3. Detective Services	19 688.5	19 54 1.6	19 664.2	19731.9	0.1%	20.2%
4. Crime Intelligence	4 200.1	4 111.5	4 116.4	4 119.2	-0.6%	4.2%
5. Protection and Security Services	3 263.7	3 232.0	3 241.2	3 245.4	-0.2%	3.3%
Total	99 560.9	96 355.5	96 857.6	97 083.6	-0.8%	100.0%
Economic classification						
Current payments	95 366.4	91570.7	92 036.9	92 097.1	-1.2%	95.2%
Compensation of employees	76 147.0	75 300.5	75 299.7	75 297.1	-0.4%	77.5%
Second Special Appropriation	า	250.0				
Transfers and subsidies	1 6 13 . 7	1 3 3 3 . 5	1258.4	1267.2	-7.7%	1.4%
Payments for capital assets	2 580.8	3 451.3	3 562.3	3719.3	13.0%	3.4%
Total	99 560.9	96 355.5	96 857.6	97 083.6	-0.8%	100.0%

Vote 39: Trade, Industry and Competition expenditure estimates by programme and economic classification

Programme					A verage growth	Average: Expenditure/
	Revised				rate	Total
	estimate		n expenditure e		(%)	(%)
R million	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2020/21-	2023/24
1. Administration	829.9	857.7	873.3	873.1	1.7%	8.8%
2. Trade Policy, Negotiations and Cooperation	213.2	233.1	235.5	234.9	3.3%	2.3%
3. Spatial Industrial Development and Economic Transform	141.1	183.4	186.4	187.6	10.0%	1.8%
4. Industrial Competitiveness and Growth	1650.5	1759.0	1799.7	1775.8	2.5%	17.9%
5. Consumer and Corporate Regulation	304.2	333.3	338.6	339.2	3.7%	3.4%
6. Industrial Financing	4 915.0	4 871.1	5 0 18.0	5 155.2	1.6%	51.1%
Second Special Appropriation		1300.0				
7. Export Development, Promotion and Outward Investmen	420.8	443.9	445.8	442.2	1.7%	4.5%
8. Inward Investment Attraction, Facilitation and Aftercare	57.2	70.2	72.7	74.7	9.3%	0.7%
9. Competition Policy and Economic Planning	686.1	906.3	930.6	939.5	11.0%	8.9%
10. Economic Research and Coordination	55.2	78.9	79.3	77.6	12.1%	0.7%
Total	9 273.3	9 736.6	9 979.8	10 099.7	2.9%	100.0%
Economic classification	,			,	,	
Current payments	1759.5	1875.4	1883.3	1853.6	1.8%	18.9%
Transfers and subsidies	7 497.0	7 824.8	8 058.4	8 206.4	3.1%	80.8%
Public corporations and private enterprises	6 273.8	6 345.2	6 545.7	6 678.3	2.1%	66.1%
Industrial Development Corporation						
Second Special Appropriation		1 300.0				
Payments for capital assets	16.7	36.4	38.1	39.8	33.5%	0.3%
Total	9 273.3	9 736.6	9 979.8	10 099.7	2.9%	100.0%

Further risks for medium-term budget consideration

- The social, economic and political risks associated with extraordinarily high inequality are not the only risks facing South Africa and the global community
- The ongoing pandemic and the risk of new devastating pandemics in the future has to be included in budget planning so that enough resilience is built within society to promote economic stability in the face of pandemics
- Climate change risks related to severe weather disasters and also prolonged droughts are not far off events but are occurring now and have to be planned and budgeted for immediately
- The impact of the fourth industrial revolution and also contagion from economic and financial crises elsewhere in the global economy require not only measures such as strategic capital controls and increased domestic manufacturing of key products but also planning and budgeting to enhance skills and foster resilience to disruption from technological change
- Consideration is required for increased government expenditure on comprehensive social security, national health insurance, improved education and training opportunities to promote solidarity across society and to ensure social stability that are pre-conditions for political and economic stability

Further risks for medium-term budget consideration

- Social and political instability can cause huge material damage in a very short period of time. Spending on stability is an investment in preventing this damage
- Fiscal policy responses to planning for risks and uncertainty could include:
 - The enhancement of automatic stabilisers as part of a comprehensive social security system
 - Additional automatic stabilisers that kick in when there is a crisis
- A developing and growing South African economy requires the stability associated with building an inclusive economy, which means government's fiscal policy framework and long-term planning has to start increasing expenditure to ensure the socio-economic rights in the Constitution
- Decisions to increase taxes and when necessary to borrow more have to be seen as investments in the future and take into account that reconstruction and development cannot happen in an unstable environment

Conclusion

- In context of the special appropriations, the questions that the MPs should consider are
 - Whether, this special appropriation bill may have been avoidable if government had reassessed fiscal consolidation stance; and
 - Whether reassessment would have allowed government to take into account warnings about the fragile social fabric and the impact of the 3rd wave of Covid-19 infections seriously
- The draft Second Special Appropriation Bill, 2021 proposes additional urgent funding allocations of R32.850 billion
- This amount includes allocations already authorised in terms of section 16 of the PFMA:
 - For Social Relief of Distress: R10.013 billion
 - For Trade, Industry and Competition: R1.3 billion

Conclusion

- To assist Members with their deliberations and considerations to approve, amend or reject the Second Special Appropriations Bill, the PBO provided additional information on:
 - Preliminary outcomes for 2020/21 that shows over-collection on revenue and underspending on appropriations
 - First quarter outcomes for 2021/22 shows higher than expected revenue collection and slower spending according to a 25 per cent notional benchmark
 - The proposed special appropriation is expected to increase the main budget balance if all other amounts are kept the same
 - Preliminary outcomes for 2020/21 and expenditure in the first quarter of 2021/22 shows underspending in specific votes that submitted a request for an urgent special appropriation
- Social Development estimated that approximately 13.2 million people will be eligible to receive the special SRD Covid grant based on:
 - 5 853 661 previous grant recipients, which is in line with the 31 March 2021 SASSA report for 2020/21
 - It is, however, not clear how the numbers (7 360 011) of caregivers were estimated. Caregivers should be well defined. It would also assist if actual numbers of recipients of a caregiver grant could be provided