ATT: Standing Committee on Finance

RE: Submission from South African economists, economic and business analysts and others on the Supplementary Budget tabled 24 June 2020

Dear Honourable Members,

We are writing as a group of economists and economic policy analysts to recommend your rejection of the Supplementary Budget tabled by the Minister of Finance on Wednesday 24 June 2020.

The Budget reneges on President Cyril Ramaphosa's R500 billion rescue package announced on 21 April 2020. The Budget undermines the constitutional obligation to progressively advance the rights of all.

As we noted in our Open Letter to the President on 30 March 2020, South Africa faces an unprecedented crisis. That crisis has become clearer. The Minister projected a fall in GDP of 7.2%, and estimates of jobs at risk vary to as high as 2 million. Millions will be plunged deeper into poverty.

In this context it would be a dereliction of duty for Committee Members to vote in favour of a budget that reduces government expenditure by R230 billion over two years when the country needs a targeted injection of resources to mitigate the damage caused to households, workers and businesses by the COVID-19 crisis. This comes on top of previously announced cuts in the 2019 MTBPS and 2020 National Budget.

The rescue package

As noted by both the President and Minister of Finance the purpose of the Supplementary Budget was to give effect to the R500 billion rescue package announced on 21 April 2020.

The Budget, however, fails to do so. Instead of R500 billion, the Budget presents a net increase to non-interest spending in the current year of just R36 billion. This is because, of the R145 billion targeted at COVID-19-related expenditure, R109 billion is funded through the suspension of baseline allocations and reprioritisations.

This naturally undermines critical aspects of the rescue package:

- Social security: The President announced a R50 billion allocation towards social grants. The
 Budget allocates R41 billion of which only R25 billion is new spend. This is on top of the
 implementation failure of the new COVID-19 grant and the irrational restriction of the Child
 Support Grant "top up" to caregivers rather than per child.
- **Job protection and creation**: Only 6% of the President's R100 billion is allocated for 2020/21. This comes largely from budget reprioritisation, including from existing funding related to small business support and unemployment reduction.
- Health: The Budget provides only R2.9 billion in net new funds for health spending. Although
 the President's R20 billion allocation is increased to R21.5 billion, this comes largely from a
 reprioritisation of existing health spending.

¹ Predictions for GDP growth differ. The South African Reserve Bank's most recent prediction is -7% while the IMF's June predictions were at -8%. Many private forecasts suggest this may be significantly worse.

- Municipal support: R20 billion remains allocated to support municipalities in providing proper water and sanitation, sanitary public transport, food provision, and accommodation for the homeless. R11 billion comes through an increase to local government's allocation, and R9bn through the reprioritisation of existing municipal budget expenditure.
- Wage support (TERS): The R40 billion allocated to the Temporary Employer/Employee Relief Scheme from Unemployment Insurance Fund surpluses remains in place. Thus far, less than 30% of the workforce has been supported with 60% of the budget used, highlighting the inadequacy of the allocated budget.
- **Credit guarantee scheme**: The R200 billion in off-budget credit guarantees remains in place. According to National Treasury, R10 billion has been accessed, a fraction of the need.
- Tax relief: R70 billion in tax deferments were expected. Thus far a tax shortfall of R26 billion
 is reported potentially indicating little of the R70 billion has been accessed. SMMEs have
 struggled to access these programmes.

The National Treasury originally lauded the rescue package as injecting spending of 10% of GDP into the economy, visually presenting South Africa in relation to other countries in its presentations of the package to position the package positively in comparison with international norms. The Budget goes nowhere near meeting this target. New spending this financial year constitutes less than 1% of GDP.

It is difficult to interpret this Budget in any other way than as a violation of both the spirit and letter of the President's announced package.

Reprioritised and medium-term expenditure

As noted the Budget reduces planned expenditure by R230 billion over two years. It also reduces a number of 2020/21 allocations so that funds are reallocated towards COVID-19 expenses. This is at a time when most governments globally are recognising the need for counter-cyclical measures to offset the economic impacts of COVID-19 and associated lockdowns. The current approach leaves the government unable to ensure service delivery and advance the socio-economic rights guaranteed in the Constitution.

Examples of particularly dangerous reductions include:

- Basic education: R2.1 billion has been cut from the Department of Basic Education's budget reducing funds for longer-term projects like school buildings and support for maths, science and technology. A further R4.4 billion has been reallocated from these grants to cover COVID-19 expenditure.
- **GBV**: There are no additional funds allocated towards tackling Gender Based Violence.
- **Transport**: Cuts of R4.6 billion, including to the provincial road maintenance grant, the planned transport network grant, and the embattled PRASA.
- Higher education: Close to R10 billion cuts to higher education and training (includes science
 and technology and innovation) threatening skills development; research into vaccines;
 retrofitting of machinery to produce ventilators; and other innovations which are needed
 now more than ever.
- **Human Settlements:** A reduction of R2.3 billion from human settlements weakening our ability to tackle the material circumstances that create greater vulnerability to health risk.
- Agriculture, land reform and rural development: A reduction of R2.4 billion limiting our ability to transform the agricultural sector and further entrenching the rural/urban divide with regard to access to services.

• **Department of Mineral Resources and Energy:** A reduction of R1.5 billion to the programme that focuses on connecting households and utilising non-grid solutions to provide energy, at a time of crisis within ESKOM, rising tariffs, and the need for a transition to renewables.

The alternatives

We appreciate that budgeting is, at the best of times, a delicate process.

We submit that in the current crisis the budget should be guided by the need to support the public health response and keep businesses afloat, workers employed and incomes in the pockets of the poorest.

The potentially catastrophic economic crisis that would result from failing to achieve these objectives, would see the economy shrink, tax revenue plummet and public debt soar. Failing to undertake the necessary expenditure now leads to worse, not better, public finance outcomes.

Alternative approaches to those taken by the Minister have been widely articulated, including by previous senior National Treasury officials, leading economists, trade unions, business formations and civil society organisations. These would involve significant *increased* expenditure in the areas identified within the rescue package and could be financed through some combination of solidarity taxation, increased borrowing, mobilising domestic quasi-public funds and reserve bank action.

Conclusion

The Budget presented betrays the rescue package announced by the President and threatens the viability of our economy and the lives of millions, in the short and medium term.

We call on the Committee Members to reject the Budget.

Yours Sincerely,

- 1. **Dr Gilad Isaacs** Co-Director, Institute for Economic Justice, and Lecturer, University of the Witwatersrand
- 2. Dr Pali Lehohla Pan African Institute for Evidence and the former Statistician-General
- 3. **Dr Lorenza Monaco** Senior Lecturer, University of Johannesburg
- 4. **Professor Mark Swilling** Distinguished Professor of Sustainable Development, School of Public Leadership, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch University
- 5. **Busi Sibeko** Researcher, Institute for Economic Justice and Steering Committee Member, Budget Justice Coalition
- 6. **Lumkile Mondi** Senior Lecturer, School of Economics and Finance, University of the Witwatersrand
- 7. Niki Cattaneo Senior Lecturer, Rhodes University
- 8. Neil Coleman Co-Director, Institute for Economic Justice
- 9. **Professor Vishnu Padayachee** Distinguished Professor and Derek Schrier and Cecily Cameron Chair in Development Economics, School of Economics and Finance, University of the Witwatersrand
- 10. Carilee Osborne Researcher, Institute for Economic Justice
- 11. David Fryer Senior Lecturer, Rhodes University
- 12. Nolithando Mkhize Student, North West University
- 13. Dr John Reynolds Senior Researcher, Rhodes University

- 14. Michael Nassen Smith Assistant Lecturer, University of Cape Town
- 15. **Dr Loyiso Mbabane** Senior Research Fellow, Institute for Economic Research on Innovation (IERI)
- 16. **Dr John Khumalo** Senior Lecturer, University of the Witwatersrand
- 17. Lebohang Liepollo Pheko Senior Research Fellow, Trade Collective
- 18. Professor Rasigan Maharajh Chief Director, Institute for Economic Research on Innovation
- 19. Funzani Mtembu Investment Analyst, Academics in Solidarity with Free Education
- 20. Dr Ilan Strauss Senior Research Associate, University of Johannesburg
- 21. Professor Matthew Ocran Deputy Dean, Faculty of Economics and Management Science
- 22. Dr Vishwas Satgar Associate Professor, University of Witwatersrand
- 23. Segametse Dikolomela Director, Atlegang Holding Group
- 24. Dr Bheki Mfeka Director, SE Advisory (Pty) Ltd
- 25. Pregs Govender Author & Writer
- 26. Dr Jeff Rudin Research Associate, Alternative Information & Development Centre
- 27. Professor Christopher Malikane Associate Professor, University of the Witwatersrand
- 28. **Palesa Shipalana** Head of the Economic Diplomacy Programme, South African Institute of International Affairs
- 29. Cheryl-Lyn Selman School of Economics and Finance, University of the Witwatersrand
- 30. Dante Mashile Public policy analyst, On Trend Media (Pty) Ltd
- 31. Pundy Pillay Professor of Economics, Wits School of Governance
- 32. Siyaduma Biniza Executive Director, Political Economy Southern Africa
- 33. Dr Zavareh Rustomjee Independent Consultant

This letter reached beyond the borders of those in the field of economics and support was offered by the following:

- 34. Mark Heywood Activist and Editor of Daily Maverick Citizen
- 35. Professor Esther Ramani Senior Research Fellow, Rhodes University
- 36. Professor Michael Joseph Senior Research Fellow, Rhodes University
- 37. Dr Suraya Scheba Lecturer, University of Cape Town
- 38. Professor Jill Bradbury Associate Professor of Psychology, University of the Witwatersrand
- 39. **Dr Irwin Friedman** Public Health Physician, Sustainable Enterprise for Enabling Development (SEED) Trust
- 40. Peter de Wet Retired CEO, MSD
- 41. Professor David Everatt Professor, Wits School of Governance
- 42. Andrew Feinstein Author, SWI
- 43. Professor Annelize Nienaber Professor and Head of Department, University of Pretoria
- 44. Professor Maxi Schoeman Professor, University of Pretoria
- 45. **Professor Christopher Ballantine** Professor Emeritus, and University Fellow, University of KwaZulu-Natal
- 46. William Shoki Staff Writer, Africa Is A Country
- 47. **Dena Lomofsky** Evaluator, Southern Hemisphere
- 48. Judy Favish Research Associate, UCT
- 49. Professor Michael Neocosmos Emeritus Professor, Rhodes University
- 50. Katishi Masemola Freelance Consultant, Policy and Strategy
- 51. **George Sekonya** PhD Researcher, University of Cape Town